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The Global Islamic Media Front (GIMF) published an article by "Asad al-Jihad 2," a prominent member of the jihadist internet community, in which he explained that the leaking of cables and other documents to the website Wikileaks is a type of "modern rebellion." His article, titled, "The Wikileaks Documents and the Modern Rebellion Against Modern Armies," was posted on jihadist forums on December 17, 2010. He argued that the individuals involved in the leaking of the documents are "rebels" who found no other way to revolt against the US and its military, and that they revolted due to unjust policies exercised by the US in its war against al-Qaeda and global jihad. The effects of this rebellion via Wikileaks, "Asad al-Jihad 2" said, have a "direct relationship to what the mujahideen are planning and this is the crux of the matter."

He said that the soft power of the US will be most affected by Wikileaks, and its "evil" will be revealed to its people and the people of the world, thus ruining its global standing and making the American system less appealing. Here he clarified the relationship between al-Qaeda's plans and the effects of the leaks, saying: "One of the methods of the mujahideen in their war for victory over American imperialism is to do their best to restrict the advantages that make the American system seductive, not just in its center, but also in the nations allied to it and the rest of the nations of the world."

Following is a translation of the message:

Full Text

Global Islamic Media Front
Department of Media Awareness
Presents
An Important Article:

"The Wikileaks Documents" and the Modern Rebellion Against Modern Armies
By the Political Analyst / Asad al-Jihad 2, may Allah Protect Him
Muharram 1432H
In the Name of Allah, the most Gracious and Merciful

On 11 September 2001, the Mujahid Sheikh Usama bin Laden declared war against Western imperialism led by the United States of America. It was an amazing declaration by a small and isolated group, and in it, he utilized an inspired style through the events of the airplanes, and then took advantage of the terror in the hearts of the infidels with the use of the latest techniques and methods. He thus established new theories in wars, to achieve noble goals that do not benefit his group but instead a large Ummah that believes in Allah alone.

This was a war that was unlike the wars known by nations of the past, in terms of style, capabilities, methods, goals and aims; it is a war, as described by Colin Powell as "between a first-world air force and a fourth-world army, between B-1 bombers and men on horseback." So how could those simple folk withstand war, not to mention regain their balance, and then spread and expand, unless this was a new war in its standards?!
In the known wars - for example - the enemy attacks his foe's sensitive and effective points in terms of politics, economics and morale. However, in the war against al-Qaeda, the United States has not found a strategic objective in its war to declare. The intensive bombing and the destruction of villages and cities did not entail danger, but instead only led to a "rearrangement of the sand." They set themselves an objective and gathered for it the largest alliance in history, which was to "arrest Sheikh Usama bin Laden or kill him," may Allah preserve him, and the global alliance did not take any time to "switch" the declared objective to objectives that change year after year.

The powerful nations would always decide the form of the world order in such a way so as to serve their interests. According to Wilhelm Grewe, Spain in the 16th century redefined the basic concepts of justice and global inclusion so as to justify the extermination of the indigenous peoples in the Americas. Then in the 18th century, France developed the modern concept of borders and the concept of balance of power, which was in line with its continental hegemony. In the 19th century, Britain introduced new laws regarding piracy, neutrality and colonialism, in line with its specific interests as a dominant force at the time. As for the case of the United States of America today, it is greater than its predecessors. After the events of 9/11, none dared to challenge its power and decisions, or discuss or even not support and aid it! International law for the United States, and which it had established itself - at a time when it needed to strengthen it - started to increasingly lose its connection with the international law that others work with and understand. It set new laws special to it alone, and the entire world sided with it in support and in allegiance of this war against the Muslim group that declared "war for the oppressed" and that seeks justice, fairness and freedom for its Ummah.

It is a new war in which a group of noble individuals who fight for their honorable and pure creed, shook the tenets of the imperialist world order as a whole in the age of globalization and American unilateralism, an age in which it was claimed that in its shadow it would be likely that international conflict would dwindle, so that it could control nations and civilizations and take their resources quietly.

The reaction of the unipolar world order was a full response to unified injustice against a small Muslim group, and no nation from the nations of the world was excluded from standing and aiding America. International organizations and national intelligence agencies and their financial, military, security and media apparatus all stood against al-Qaeda; they even used al-Azhar and the Commission of Ruling Scholars of Saudi Arabia as trumpets for them in the war against the mujahideen. Yet, with all this, the magic backfired upon the wizard, and the mujahideen won the hearts and minds. Most of the people knew - and they were positive - of the true image of evil imperialist America, and the theory of al-Qaeda that America is the head of all evil was proven...

In this war, the United States utilized two techniques at once: "win the hearts and minds" and "search and destroy." It is a destructive war of a new kind in the era of freedom, human rights and international law!

In this war, they held sham elections in Afghanistan and the falsehood was proven to the world, thus returning to the same problem and without punishing those who committed the forgeries. The competitor withdrew before the run-off, and the first winner remained by forgery - which was proven by the United Nations - and yet the "civilized" nations of the world acknowledge President Karzai and deal with him, and their peoples accept this evil!
With the blessing of the United Nations and the developed nations of the world and their neighboring countries, nations are invaded and broken and their peoples destroyed on suspicion of owning prohibited weapons - prohibited to the oppressed - and independent nations are bombed by planes and their sons are killed - with the blessing of the nations of the world and the bombed nations themselves! Criminality against the unjustly-treated peoples is considered a great action. If America wishes to arrest anyone then it may do so, and whoever wishes to arrest one of its soldiers is not allowed!

Yet, for all this tyranny - and what was mentioned is a good part of it - America and its allies did not achieve anything about which to boast. What is most amazing about this war is America lowering its head before weak opponents, opponents whom one would never expect to be a danger worth considering. It is a new war in the history of nations in which new techniques and new goals are utilized, and an unjust international gathering loses its power and balance day after day against a small group that is winning day after day. Allah has the command before and after...

Modern armies have become more cohesive in this era than in previous eras, because of the power of their leaders and those of the heavy feet that control national policies, and the overlapping of their interests with international interests and those of influential people across borders. Therefore, the attempts of rebellion from the army have become more difficult. Also, there is another reason, which is scientific development that allows regimes to discover conspiracies quicker. Attempts at rebellion often times failed, as in Georgia, Bangladesh and elsewhere, and in this new war, the army rebellion requires a new type of revolution. The rebellion of the past no longer works in this era. The running of the allies of the United States and their exit from the alliance, with the regression of their power before the power of the mujahideen, is not in and of itself a rebellion against the United States. The screaming of the generals and American officers in the American military, and the weariness of the politicians towards the defeat of their armies against the weakest of the global armies are not considered a rebellion against the army and the government. Indeed, even if the number of suicides was in the hundreds, and even if the top leaders like General McCrystal and others who they showed to have resigned would rebel - and this is most of the army leadership and some ministers - this would still not be a total actual rebellion, for modern armies in this modern war require a different and modern type of revolution and rebellion.

The rebellion and revolution against the armies of the United States and their allies in their war against al-Qaeda was represented by the leaking by rebels in the American military and its diplomatic mission of hundreds of thousands of secret documents. They rebelled in a modern way that will have a profound effect on the policies of America and its global standing, and this was what has become known as the "Wikileaks Documents."

Allah, Glorified and Exalted be He, planned before against America by dedicating the American media to showing the events of September 11th with all its details to the world, which achieved one of the most important goals of the operation. He also used the American media to publish the photos of Abu Ghraid, and used the rebels in the military and in American official circles to move many of the dangerous and secret documents to the Wikileaks site...

Experts expected a revolution against the politicized media, which had lost the most important tenets of its survival such as uncovering facts. The politicized media, led by the American administration, was utilized to achieve its imperialist aims after the situation of the free media deteriorated and it was brought under the complete control of the American administration.

John Ashcroft previously issued instructions to the Justice Department to prevent handing over
documents under the "Freedom of Information" Act. Executive powers had reduced to an alarming degree the type of documents that could be published or even considered for publication. Congress ratified the "Patriot Act," which creates a "police state," and even the investigations of the events of 9/11 were not published. With the increasing number of mysterious questions related to the blessed events against the World Trade Center towers and the Pentagon, and the great protests by the families of the dead, Congress created the "National Commission on the Terrorist Attacks on the United States," but it had placed restrictions on its work to the extent that its first appointed president, Henry Kissinger, was forced to resign after only three weeks!

It is not a "war against terror" but a "war against truth" in all senses...

Jean Kirkpatrick, the United States Ambassador to the United Nations in the 80's, did not exaggerate when she said: "Simply put, the media is the most important element of American foreign policy." Also, the historian and dean of Columbia University, Alan Brinkley, said: "The 'domestication' of the American media is not circumstantial but structural," and he said - in his forum in Genoa at the end of 2003 - that the American media will not succeed in waking up. Ian Masters of the Los Angeles Times said: "If the media is the oxygen of democracy, this means that someone has used gas against the United States!"

The American administrations pick the tune, and the media - local and global - dance to it...

But this dismal situation cannot continue with the defeats of America and its alliance based on injustice. Experts have predicted a change in the state of the free media. The fighter, journalist historian, Edward Galeano, said in 2001: "The world can change. It is not doomed to accept this, even if there is a regime that appears to be eternal, but I do not think it is eternal. In September 2005, Robert Fisk declared global journalism that is led by the West and in whose freedom it brags of, that it is only the journalism of prisons, or the journalism of hotels, and the lies of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Pentagon, the White House, the British government, and the Ministry of Defense in London and others, is the reason why the war continues. The veteran journalist followed up by saying: "At some point there will be an explosion that cannot be explained by those in authority. I do not know when it will happen, but it will inevitably happen." This explosion happened in a way that cannot be explained by those in power, and it is the leaking of the "Wikileaks Documents" from military and American official circles, thus forcing by their actions all the global media to report the facts as they came.

Amy Goodman, the author of "Democracy Now!," will suffer no longer! Her voice almost became hoarse and the ink in her pen almost dry as she tried to prove the politicization of the American media and the corruption of the journalists and the most famous news stations. If the free media is lost, then truth is lost, darkness is strengthened, minds are manipulated, and rights are lost. I think that Goodman today is rejoicing after the truth of her investigations were proven in all the languages of the world and in their different directions...

Some parties in American circles will try to benefit what they can from the event in the "short term," just as they utilized the Abu Ghraib scandals to achieve some benefits at the time, and as they did recently with the tempest in the teapot concerning the burning of the Qur'an, to remove attention from the investigation into their failures in the war against "terror" in which the questioning and accounting of it increases year after year around the anniversary of the 9/11 attacks. Accordingly, perhaps some American circles - after the spread of the scandals and those who published them are trusted - may try to leak some fake documents on Wikileaks so as to pass through some of their policies in a covert fashion. The Vice Chairman of the [State Duma]
and the head of the Liberal Democratic Party of Russia, Vladimir Zhirinovsky, summarized some goals by saying: The exposure of such documents before global public opinion is merely "propaganda" so that the American administration can cover its losses and failed policies in Afghanistan... what also proves this is the party of Obama failing to reach a majority in the Congress and the continuation of different disputes, in addition to the American problems with China and North Korea. He indicated: despite the fact that 80% of the information published on Wikileaks is accurate, it is not far-fetched that Washington added some false information.

In this regard, I do not care about what the American administration will falsify and pass through the website, nor do I care to investigate the number of rebels who worked to issue the documents, or their position - and they are doubtlessly many in number and organized, and the American administration will not allow their truth to be revealed - and whose truth will not be revealed except via other "Wikileaks Documents" related to them! I do not care of the leanings of those who did the leaking - and they must have background and positions, and disputes to settle some scores so as to selectively issue and hide what they want and do not want, just as they hid many things regarding Israel and their failure and defeat in the war against terror. In times of hardship and defeat, each party and powerful faction looks for what it can use to strengthen itself against its opponents in the short run, even if this were at the expense of the global good or national security. I do not care about what the American administration will achieve in terms of benefits in the "short run." Let America go to hell. What I care about instead are the effects of this rebellion in the "midterm" or "long term" on the United States and its influence and control in the world, because this effect has a direct relationship to what the mujahideen are planning and this is the crux of the matter.

What will be most affected by the "Wikileaks Documents" for the United States is its "soft power" or moral power, and it is more important than "hard power," especially when it is stricken with weakness at this time. The powerful people and multinational corporations placed Barack Obama as president so as to strengthen the soft power of the United States, for they are in desperate need of it today in light of the continuation of the weakness of its hard power represented by its military and economic power. The difference between the two powers, as described by Joseph Nye: "Hard power is the ability to force others to do what they do not want to do by using threats... and soft power, on the other hand, is the ability to achieve the required results because others want what you want. It is the ability to achieve aims via charisma... and is represented by convincing others to follow customs and institutions that result in the required behavior or to make them accept it."

America was working to become a role model for the world and its peoples, and this is one of the most important tenets of its soft power: High self-confidence, as manifested by a phrase Madeline Albright used when she spoke about the United States, by calling it the "indispensable nation." In less than 10 years this changed to the "parasitic nation" that feeds on the efforts of others and is hated by the peoples of the world!

The scandals of the American regime will lead to an increase of the capabilities of the American society - specifically - and the societies of the countries of the world to see the faults and problems that have stricken the American authority, and the faults that will befall it soon. This leads, with the passing of time, to forming a group awareness, which may appear in the form of a new program to organize and administer society, and excise that evil authority. 'So woe to he who derides the law, and woe be to the people who are silent in the face of derision of the law, as Volgin said.'
When the United States is unable to achieve what it promised before its people and the peoples of the world, when it cannot achieve what it promised to achieve except through a large increase in costs and through trickery and through the reduction of civil liberties, not only does the public support that it had enjoyed up to that point decrease, but not too long after the support of the citizens themselves of the central American system decreases as well...

The American citizen will feel demoralized as he sees the model that he dreamed of to be unjust, tricky, cowardly and contemptible, and his reaction will be a violent one, both in the mid- and long-term.

History teaches us that the formation and collapse of higher models and general creeds according to historical race - and which form the skeleton of civilization - represents the high points in that civilization's history. When people begin to discuss the loss and the death of the grand creed, then the time of its dying has begun! It is difficult to destroy higher models and general creeds, and they cannot be changed except by violent revolutions, and only after they have lost nearly all of their hegemony and power over the souls. One of the methods of the mujahideen in their war for victory over American imperialism is to do their best to restrict the advantages that make the American system seductive, not just in its center, but also in the nations allied to it and the rest of the nations of the world. The loss of these characteristics is a sure guarantee, in the mid- and long-term, of the loss of the advantages with which the American system justifies its existence and leadership. Samuel Huntington said: "The rejection of the law of Western civilization means the end of the United States that we know, and means the true end of Western civilization." I believe that in a few years, America will be a prison for which criminals are exiled away from honorable societies! Then the oppressed peoples of the world will return to live in dignity and relaxation...

The revolution that the "Wikileaks Documents" created will have its effect on the entire world, for whatever reveals the evil of America and weakens it is in the interest of the peoples of the world. Chomsky and William Bloom - and others - will rest and put one foot over the other as they see the global political analysts "finally" know the truth of the Western imperial system led by America...

In the age of scientific development and the communications revolution, the exposure of the facts in what was known as the "Wikileaks Document" becomes the title of the new rebellion against the modern armies and the developed revolution against developed systems. It is a new war in a new style. George Orwell wrote in his novel "1984": "In a time of global deceit, speaking the truth truly becomes revolutionary."

I will not ask who is victorious in the war every day, al-Qaeda or America, because the truth is bitter, as it is said! I will not ask who are the people of good intentions: al-Qaeda or the Americans, because of the effect of the politicized media is still accumulated in the minds.

But after the revealing of the truth of the trickery and corruption of the Western system led by America through the "Wikileaks Documents" to the nations and peoples of the world, and the legal and civil organizations in a documented fashion, my question is: How would the map of the nations of the world and its people be, with a state of continuation and rise of the intensity of the tyranny of the unipolar American empire, if the events of 9/11 had not happened?

And before I hear the answer.... I... smile...

Written by Asad al-Jihad 2
The Spearhead of the Mujahideen

Wednesday, 9 Muharram 1432H
Corresponding to 15/2/2010M [sic]