

Present: Jon Debrich, Lauren Hradecky, Chris Kingsley, Nate Favini, Spencer Walters Sarah Riehl, David Poolman, Joshua Mertz, Gregory Reed, Jonathan Williams, Rachel Moston, Amy Havassy, Aric Beitman, Karl Blumenthal.

- Moment of Silence
- SC will meet @ 5 O'Clock Sundays
- Committees might meet once a week or every other week
- We are still in the process of elections –
  - Constitution – 40% of body must cast a vote
  - Problem: Lunt leads, hca, houses – not enough kids casted votes or abstained – doesn't count towards quorum
  - People are not making decisions
  - Comfort Runoff – not one candidate won 40% - running off top 2 candidates
  - Fig is running the elections
  - SC elections – need 30 comfort, 25 leeds, 30 lunt to vote, 29 houses to vote, 160 people in HCA, 126 Frosh for honor council
  - Liz contacting HCO's for frosh votes
- Committees
  - Activities – Puts on all of the dances , Haverford idol, and other campus activities.
  - Appointments - Appoints students to all the committees that run Haverford.
    - Lots of student positions. Meet Sundays before SC meeting.
    - Members should read who is applying before the meeting
  - Budgeting - Budgets all the funds to the student groups on campus. Budgeting is a huge time commitment at one time. Starting tomorrow [9/14/03] – and runs for about two weeks. After that the budgeting committee doesn't really meet. Intensive two weeks.
    - Amy and Karl will be on the budgeting committee.
    - Sarah – appointments
    - Rachel-Activities
    - Jon-Budgeting
    - Chris – Bryn Mawr Representative
    - Coke Liaison – TBA
    - Comment Board – TBA
    - JSAAPP- TBA
- Lauren / Jon over the summer –
  - Donated 2 elliptical machines
  - 2 new coke machines in the INSC (lounge / sharpless)
  - Van service in Philly – Borrow Athletic dept van

- Or BMC Bus arrangement – Saturday nights, we will get more information ... Bus 45 people, Van 10. Costs are even and will help promote bi-co community.
- Met with Matha – housing director ... we want comfort lounge to be created in the basement of Comfort) Comfort storage will have to be moved from one room to another.
- Main room and one across from entryway will be turned into a social space and a study space during fall break. We will try to get a television and several lamps in the room.
- Chris designed the online submission form for the mass emails and the WWN
  - Just go to the SC website – “webservices” Only way we are taking submissions to the WWN.
- Cable TV – agreed upon that the SC will pay the installation and startup fees. Administration would pay for the services. Start up fees 7-11 thousand dollars for 3-9 pages. We are looking for lounge spaces. Gummere, Leeds, Barclay, Lloyd.
  - “Online Poll?” - We will create one eventually. We need a bigger school response first. Is it worth it? Or does no one want cable on campus.
  - Only in certain places and not in customs suites.
  - Responses : Yes – will be worth the money cost, the cost effectiveness... have been complaints on campus .
- Meetings set up – if you have any questions for the deans – send an email to [SC@go](mailto:SC@go). Welcome to set up meetings with Joe Tolliver anytime
- Plenary September 21<sup>st</sup>. Doors open at 7 PM. Some resolutions are for AP changes. There will be campus center meetings to discuss the resolutions
  - Must be at plenary at 6PM to help and set everything up. We must pay PP overtime.
  - Jobs for SC reps: counting people, counting and collecting ballots. Sarah volunteered to set up plenary.
  - We need to designate reps to take each plenary job 7 collectors 5 counters, 2 people to guard the doors. 2 to pass out pamphlets.
- Activities committee – Plenary posters to be placed on HCA Trail and in the Dining Center.
- Contact Safety and security – deactivate the one cards for the computer clusters. Roberts – Stokes – HCA – Magill stays OPEN.

- If call boxes aren't working in a lot of places – call / email tking@haverford.edu  
Can set up the cards in dorms to allow people in at different times or restrict it just to residents.
- Fall Fest – October 4<sup>th</sup>. Open campus day with community involvement. We will have a moonbounce, games music, and food at Leeds green 11-4. We need everybody to help.
- Dance last night. – Reps should help set-up and clean up the dances. Thanks to Dave, Amy, and Spencer for cleaning up the party.
- Chris – Bah'ai Faith – Should not be posting on campus if there are no student members. They are posting at BMC – as a Haverford club. Lauren has been collecting SC policies and **No postings are allowed by non-tri-co members.** Postings must contain name of individual or group, and can only be posted by using tape or thumbtacks.
- New policy about comment board. If a comment does not contain a name, SC reserves the right to cross the comment out.
- Next meeting September 28<sup>th</sup> .
- Meet w/ committee heads
- MOS

These minutes reflect the viewpoints of co-secs Joshua Mertz and Spencer Walters. Any questions, please contact exec@haverford.edu.

Plenary

Quorum to be reached : 466 : Time Reached 7:21

- I. Moment of Silence (7:26) - Jon
- II. Welcome to Plenary - Jon welcomes the student body. Respect all opinions - plenary is on a time system. Please State name and class. watch for the chords on the pro side.
- III. Rules of Order and Agenda- Jon announces
  - a. 3 to 5 minutes to look over rules of order and agenda
  - b. Call for unfriendly amendments: 75 signatures needed seven minutes allowed to gather signatures and hand in the amendment to be extended by seven minutes once.
    - i. Nora Wasserman, Charlie Vos, and Katie Schogol- Unfriendly amendment against the agenda to strike item IV from the agenda.(ending at 7:39)
  - c. Presentation of recognized Unfriendly amendments: five minutes
    - i. Katie Schogol, Nora Wasserman, Charlie Vos, Tatiana Marshall (seniors) - Smoke free Lunt. Necessary but not a correct forum for the discussion. - See Unfriendly Amendment - This is a Lunt community decision - talked to Joe Tolliver. Forum for intimate discussion.
    - ii. Question and Answer: five minutes
      - Laura Kasakoff '06 - if you invite Haverford community what's the difference if we discuss this now?
      - Answer - some have no interest in going to Lunt. And people in intimate discussion should make the decision.
      - Chloe Caraballo '06 - approximately between frequenters how many do you think visit Lunt basement and cafe?
      - Answer - estimate - prepay 50 people on prepay -- about an audience of 200 regulars, 100 more for events and concerts. Often open to the entire community.
      - Jason Coleman 04 - When you have your meeting how will you come to your decision about smoking / non smoking at lunt
      - Answer - impartial moderator to moderate the discussion and come to consensus or compromise. Will honor what this group decides. Plenary resolution will be set in stone otherwise we can change whenever we want.
    - iii. Pro-con debate: ten minutes
      - Con - Cole 05 -- This resolution has a place at this plenary. It is there to discuss non-constitutional and non-honor code thing.
      - Pro - Jeremy Pober 04 - this is a clause for the housing code -- have they talked to the housing committee? no one has had a chance to think and discuss it

- Con - Julien Colvin 05 - Not an honor code issue. This does affect this community.
  - Pro – Greg Greenberg 05 -- This is a yes or no forum. Whereas a small discussion would give people options.
  - Con - Chloe '06 -- plenary is about compromise. not just a yes or no issue. We can propose another unfriendly amendment. How do you strike out without a discussion? Plenary is the only forum for a community decision.
  - Pro – Nora Wasserman '04 -- not the entire community, these 400 might overlap, but by no means an exact overlap. Would like to discuss with people very passionate about it. this is not the forum. Compromise isn't ideal at plenary -- other forum will be more ideal than at plenary.
  - Con - Emily Pellman 06 - This is the forum to discuss this issue. Lots of people will find excuses not to go to the discussion. We have quorum now.
  - Pro – Tatiana Marshall 04 - Haverford has a history where special forums are attended. Plenary is not the only place where this has to happen
  - Con - Laura Kasakoff 06 – This is a perfect place to discuss this -- Lunt is funded by SC - money goes into this so that everyone should decide from this forum.
  - Pro - Chris Haagen 07 - Wants to do things that would shorten plenary. Would be better with a smaller group anyway.
- iv. Vote on unfriendly amendments, majority rules
- Visual Vote - majority rules -- the amendment passes in the eyes of the chair.
- d. Review of new rules of order and agenda

#### IV. Resolution # 2 Alcohol policy clean-up

- a. Presenters introduce: 5 minutes - Julien Colvin 05
  - i. See attached resolution. These are clarifying changes that would help the understanding the alcohol policy.
- b. ?'s and answers
  - i. No questions
- c. Pro-con presentations
  - i. No pro-con comments
- d. Call for unfriendly amendments
  - i. no unfriendly amendments
- e. Moment of silence
- f. Vote on final resolution, majority rules
  - i. Resolution passes in the eyes of the chair

#### V. Resolution #3 - Keeping Clean

- a. Presenters introduce: 5 minutes Nora Spriggs 06, Karl Blumenthal 06

- i. See attached resolution. We want to keep the buildings and grounds clean. Especially after Haverfest. Broken glass is an issue - people keep getting cut. (Comfort and Lloyd).
- b. ?'s and answers
- i. Jennifer Carmen 06 - students should take responsibility - those who drink or those who throw the party?
  - ii. Answer - anyone who drinks but especially those who throw the party. No way to police this. This should be an individual thing -- not people who throw the parties
  - iii. Jim Dupont - class of 07 -- does this address students from other colleges and communities?
  - iv. Answer - We don't have any power to warrant over other students.
  - v. Chris Kozy 06 - Should this be for the social honor code or for the alcohol policy?
  - vi. Answer - This should be honor council not JASAPP. They do fall under the jurisdiction of the honor council as well.
  - vii. Jim Dupont 07 - isn't it evident in the social honor code?
  - viii. Answer - yes, but it still happens and it should be addressed in the alcohol policy. We feel that the alcohol policy should remind the students of this necessity.
- c. Pro-con presentations
- i. Con - Kyle Smiddie 04 - should not be set in stone we need to follow this (already in the Social honor code).
  - ii. Pro - Nathaniel Grabman - You guys should pick up your crap.
  - iii. Con - Zach Withers 06 - we have an honor code where we should respect each other. This is common sense -- we don't need to tell people this again. Not necessary to spell everything else.
  - iv. Pro - Brooks Ambrose 06 - Obvious that JSAAPP is redundant with the honor code. Arguing against this because it is redundant is not a good argument.
  - v. Con - Jeff Barten 04 "con"
  - vi. Pro- David Hilden 07 - The more stated the easier our ideals
  - vii. Con - Jim Dupont - redundant to place this in after we have cleaned it up. Must respect each other is common sense.
  - viii. Pro - Chris Haagen 07 -- people still do this
  - ix. Con - Jeremy Pober -04 it's because people are drunk. They don't care about it. You must confront people about this. But modifying this will not stop anything.
  - x. Pro- Matt Lowe 06 -- people are worried about the negative affect. When people are going to be reading the social honor code -- it's a redundancy that won't cause any problems and might help. No problem with it.
  - xi. Con -Ryan Sajac 06 - "Con"
  - xii. Pro - Ryan Seajack 06- you are drunk that night and party. At 2 in the afternoon at founders there was still a mess. You should go back and clean up everything. Disrespectful otherwise.

- d. Response to pro con debate
  - i. No response
- e. Call for unfriendly amendments
  - i. Nora Spriggs, and Karl Blumenthal - Adds the phrase all violations will fall under the jurisdiction of the honor code. 76 signatures.
- f. Presentation of recognized Unfriendly amendments: five minutes
  - i. Adds jurisdiction of infraction to the Honor Council and not JSAAPP.
  - ii. Question and Answer: five minutes
    - Carolyn Hotchkiss 06 - If this falls under the honor council - is this not for the spring?
    - Answer - No not a change in the honor code.
    - Jennifer Whitlock 04 - I don't understand how you punish someone for littering
    - Answer - not up to us how and when. That's an honor council / deans panel. Same way they have handled it before.
    - Jennifer Whitlock 04 - can you clarify the difference between JSAAPP?
    - JSAAPP does not handle violations.
  - iii. Pro-con debate: ten minutes
    - Con - Cole Sorrelgreen 04 - Honor code states that we must follow all policies. Why does this matter?
  - iv. Moment of Silence
  - v. Vote on unfriendly amendments, majority rules, visual
    - Amendment passes by majority
- g. Presenters read new amendment before the vote.
- h. Moment of silence
- i. Vote on final resolution, 2/3 visual votes.
  - i. Resolution is passed
- j. Request for paper ballot -- For keeping clean.
  - i. Resolution Passes with 73 % of the votes.

#### VI. Resolution # 3 - Julien Colvin '05 Clarifying Public/Private Question

- a. Presenters introduce: 5 minutes
  - i. See Attached Resolution - Defines a private space as a student residence or a yard porch or stoop adjacent to the residence. Can only serve the alcohol indoors. Cannot drink in stairwells or halls - which are public spaces. Will lessen the amount of Alcohol policy violations.
- b. ?'s and answers
  - i. Hans Herzl-Betz 07 - clarify advertised to bi-co and tri-co communities.
  - ii. Answer -flyers are open parties. if you are invited by invitation the alcohol is allowed. not through flyers
  - iii. Christopher Bates 05 - should we consider the wording from party guests to event guests.

- iv. Answer - It might be changed - friendly amendment
  - v. Hans Herzl-Betz 05 - why can't we serve outside?
  - vi. Answer - State Law. [
  - vii. Brooks Ambrose 06 is posting on GO considered a flyer?
  - viii. Answer - yes.
  - ix. Erica Phillips 04 - Is a bathroom a public space?
  - x. Answer - in a dormitory yes that is a private space I believe. I don't think this wording would prohibit that.
  - xi. Theresa Heller 04 - Is Leeds green my yard?
  - xii. Answer - it is adjacent to hall also -- I left it open for JSAAPP to define. There is a point where it extends to a private space.
  - xiii. Caleb Jacquith 05 - Clarify drinking outside
  - xiv. Answer - serving is illegal in public space.
  - xv. Caleb Jacquith 05 - isn't drinking also outside allowed
  - xvi. Answer yes. You can't consume or serve alcohol outside in PA.
- c. Motion to extend Q and A - failed
  - d. Pro-Con presentations
    - i. Con -Elizabeth Bonapfel 04. Concerned by getting rid of Public space section will create another ambiguity. will present unfriendly amendment.
    - ii. no pro.
  - e. Response to pro con debate
    - i. Wouldn't be opposed to re-adding the sections. The current policy doesn't define the public spaces. Not opposed to the idea, but it needs to be clear.
  - f. Call for unfriendly amendments ( 7 minutes to collect signatures)
  - g. Presentation of recognized Unfriendly amendments: five minutes
    - Elizabeth Bonapfel - 04. 75 signatures obtained.
      - i. Calls for a MOS. There was confusion a couple of years ago that we thought we clarified. Julien is clarifying the private spaces. Policy defines the private spaces. Would like to make all changes into an A clause. Clause B will define public spaces in the alcohol policy. See attached unfriendly amendment. Added HCA trail, laboratory buildings, and any space not defined by private space. Redundant but important but clarifying.
      - ii. Question and Answer: five minutes
        - Question by Charlie Vos and Kyle Smiddie - to exclusive - what if we build a building that's not academic. We are making a friendly amendment - changing "defined as" to "such as".
        - Will Carroll 05 - Art 2, Sec C. Does this supercede the administrations recommendation?
        - Answer - yes it makes it explicit, but it does not supercede the college's recommendations.
      - iii. Pro-con debate: ten minutes



- Pro - Julien Colvin 05. Likes the amendment change.
- iv. Moment of Silence
- v. Vote on unfriendly amendments, majority rules
  - Visual vote - in the eyes of the chair the amendment has passed.
- vi. A friendly amendment has occurred. Julien rereads the clause changes. Clause 5 reads - as noted in the changed amendment.
- h. Moment of silence
- i. Vote on final resolution, majority rules. - visual vote since there were no cons in the pro-con debate.
  - i. In the eyes of the chair the resolution passes w/ 2/3 of a vote.

## VII. Alcohol Policy

- a. JSAAPP chair presents alcohol policy - Chris Migliacio 05 JSAAPP Chair
- b. Q and A
  - i. Hans Herzl-Betz 07 - What justification is there in the rule that you can't serve alcohol outside?
  - ii. Answer - much more coercive and its illegal in PA. It's a respect for others. This is the policy as it stands right now.
- c. Pro Con
  - i. Pro - Julien Colvin 05. -- now is the time to speak if you have a problem with the AP. We are responsible adults. I am against the behavior that people exhibit when they are drunk. - Everyone needs to make sure that they are responsible. Don't do stupid things. This community will be a lot better place. - vandalism
  - ii. Con Christina Moran 04 - I see seniors say think twice before you ratify this policy. If the community doesn't follow this policy then maybe we don't deserve this.
  - iii. Pro - Jeff Barton 04 "pro"
  - iv. Con - Elizabeth Smith 04 - it is illegal to drink if you are under 21. We didn't talk about this.
  - v. Pro - Jeremy Pober 04 - This is a policy that we must take this into your own hands. The idea that we don't follow the policy comes up every year. 18 year olds should be allowed to drink and do keg stands.
  - vi. Con -Laura Kasakoff -- I will not follow the alcohol policy. I am against it for that reason.
  - vii. Pro - Elizabeth Bonapfel 04 - It's an amazing applicable document b/c it incorporates the AP with the Honor code. The college with its Quaker roots doesn't want to encroach upon the rights of us as students to make our own decisions. It recognizes that we are capable of drinking. We must work together as a community for this to work. Realism is important.
  - viii. Con - Keith Weissglass 05 - 2 problems w/ AP. people don't follow it. It's also restricted by people other than us. Thus it is a hollow policy. Maybe it's just for show. I don't know. I

don't drink and it feels weird to say it. It doesn't bother me (haverfest) but the vandalism does affect me.

- ix. Pro - Brooks Ambrose 06 -- The AP is our attempt to keep stupid things from happening. This is a good way for that.
  - x. Con - Cole Sorrelgreen 04 - Don't agree with the "Ideal" comment. do you want to hold up to that ideal. Do you agree with the ideal to hold yourself to? Do I like this as what I say is mine? Ask yourself that question before we vote.
  - xi. Pro - Elizabeth Bonapfel 04 - my final plea to people to be kind to what we have and to appreciate it. Many places don't have this.
- d. Response by JSAAPP chair
- i. There are limitations by the administration - we don't want to be sued. The administration has been tolerant. Those who suggest to get rid of alcohol don't realize it will not produce a better community. We have a healthy community with the policy here. Don't be an idiot follow the policy and vote for it.
- e. 687 people right here. Thanks for maintaining Quorum!!!!
- f. MOS
- g. Vote on Ratification , majority rules (50%+1) - by ballot # 2
- i. The AP passes with 526 votes yes, 20 nos, 14 abstentions.
- VIII. Final Moment of Silence

These minutes reflect the views of SC Co-Secretaries Joshua Mertz and Spencer Walters. Any questions email [exec@haverford.edu](mailto:exec@haverford.edu). Thanks.

## Students' Council Meeting Minutes

Sun., September 28, 2003

---

**Present:** Jonathan Debrich, Lauren Hradecky (*Co-Presidents*); Nate Favini (*Vice President*); Spencer Walters (*Co-Secretary*); Dave Poolman and Greg Reed (*Treasurers*); Andrew Katz and Cathy Fong (*HCA*); Amy Havassy (*Gummere*); Jennifer Whitlock (*Leeds*); Aleem Ahmed and Arjun Tasker (*Barclay*); Arie Beitman and Rachel Moston (*Lloyd*); James Chappel (*Comfort*); Jonathan Williams (*Lunt*); Sarah Riehl (*Jones*); Chris Kingsley (*off-campus*); and Samara Thomas (*BMC*).

**Absent:** Joshua Mertz (*Co-Secretary*); Adam Goldstein and Denise Marica (*HCA*); Karl Blumenthal (*Gummere*); Elena Stavrakas (*houses*).

There were no guests ☹, though guests are always welcome in the Bryn Mawr room of the Dining Center, Sunday nights at 5 p.m. NEXT MEETING: Oct. 5, 2003.

### I. Moment of Silence

### II. President's Reports

- A. *Comfort Lounge Update* – An email went out and there will soon be postings about students moving items in storage so that renovations to Comfort basement can stay on schedule. Right now, during Fall Break, Physical Plant is expected to complete the main lounge area, which will have sofas and a television and stuff. During Winter Break, they will sector off a study lounge. *None of this will cost Students' Council money, though they have been working hard with administration to make this possible.*
- B. *Newspaper Update.* (by Chris Kingsley) – All of September was free. To continue with the same quantity of newspapers (about 150 a day) would cost Students' Council approximately \$4000 a semester. The current suggestion is to cut this in half (have about 75 newspapers a day in the Campus Center and Dining Center). Some options (with pricing) will be included in the **Fall 2003 SC Student Survey**, which Lauren and Jon will soon send out.
- C. *Philly Bus Service* – Jon and Lauren continue to work on different options for bus service into or out of Philly past when the trains run. Bryn Mawr, with whom we would like to work, has pushed back until next semester any real plans. Jon and Lauren are actively seeking quotes and pursuing possible funding from the Deans' office. This will also be included in the Fall 2003 SC Student Survey.
- D. *Lunt Office Hours* – every Wednesday in Lunt Café, from 8:30 to 9:30 p.m.
- E. *Board of Managers* and a special Alumni Leadership weekend will be this Thursday, Friday and Saturday, Oct. 2-4.

### III. Committee Reports

#### A. *Activities* – Spencer Walters

- i. **FallFest 2K3** is coming soon, this Saturday, October 4, on Leed's Green. Fall Fest is a Haverford tradition, meant to foster interaction between community members and students. There will be *food, fun, and music*.

- B. *Appointments* – Nate Favini
  - i. Scott Simpson was appointed to the College Planning Committee.
  - ii. Chris Brown was appointed to the College Honors Committee.
  - iii. Ryan Frankel was appointed to the Administrative Advisory Committee.
  - iv. Stephanie Rudolph was appointed to the Committee for Environmental Responsibility.
  - v. Teresa Traxler and Jeremy Babener were appointed to the President’s Panel on Harassment and Discrimination.
  - vi. Brandon Aurebach and Elmar Trust were appointed to the Committee on Admission.
- C. *Budgeting* – Dave Poolman and Greg Reed
  - i. Grievances were held this past week, actual budgeting the week before.
  - ii. The number of clubs that budgeted this Fall rose year-over-year by over 30 percent, from 65 to 86.
  - iii. The treasurers will be auditing clubs this year and are looking into other ways maintaining responsibility among club leaders and money usage.

#### IV. Various Appointments

- A. Amy Havassy will be in charge of maintaining the Comment Board.
- B. Jonathan Williams and Jennifer Whitlock will serve as Students’ Council representatives to JSAAPP.
- C. Sarah Riehl will serve as the Coca-Cola representative. ([coke@haverford.edu](mailto:coke@haverford.edu) is a pretty awesome email address.)
- D. Aleem Ahmed will be the Students’ Council representative to the Food Committee.

#### V. Other Reports

- A. Dave Poolman has been in contact with the current host of the Disguide and will get more information about costs of upkeep.
- B. Arie Beitman reports that many folks he’s spoken with are against the installation of cable into common spaces.
- C. Chris Kingsley noted that the D.C. basement ventilation system doesn’t work.
- D. Aleem Ahmed noted that folks would really like air driers or paper towels in Barclay bathrooms. As this request is vetoed by the administration, he will perhaps look into having Physical Plant install hooks for towels.
- E. Jen Whitlock said that there aren’t even soap dispensers in Leeds and will contact Housing about remedying this awfully unsanitary situation.

#### VI. Moment of Silence

*\*\*These minutes represent the views, opinions, perceptions, and creeds of Spencer Walters and Joshua Mertz, Co-Secretaries of Students’ Council. They and only they can be held responsible for “misrepresentation,” insults, and incompleteness that may result from*

**What does your SC do for you?** <http://students.haverford.edu/sc/scwatch/>

# **Students' Council Minutes**

**5 October 2003**

**Next meeting:** Sunday, October 19, 2003. 5 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Members Present:** Jon Debrich, Lauren Hradecky (Co-Presidents); Nate Favini (VP); Greg Reed, David Poolman (Co-Treasurers), Joshua Mertz and Spencer Walters (Co-Secretaries); Chris Kingsley (Off Campus); Samara Thomas (BMC); Karl Blumenthal and Amy Havassy (Gummere); James Chappel (Comfort); Jennifer Whitlock (Leeds); Aleem Ahmed (Barclay); and Sarah Riehl (Jones)

**Visitors:** Su-Lyn Poon (FIG); Tom King (Safety and Security).

I. Moment of Silence

II. Special Guests:

- Tom King – Director of Security
  - Wants to open up lines of communication between SC and Safety and Security.
  - One-card system – Current Issues
    - Software is flexible depending on the desires of the students. Dorm residents can contact Safety and Security (or their SC Dorm Reps) about changing current settings.
    - If any student has problems with their cards (e.g. lamination on front is wearing off, library barcode rubbing off, etc.) contact Safety and Security to get a new card.
  - One-card System – coming features
    - Keycard access to the DC Basement and other student spaces. Any room for student convenience will be a priority.
    - Laundry will be on the one-card possibly by second semester.
    - Dining Center meal plan will eventually be on the One card magnetic system like with dorm access (swipes will eventually be unnecessary).
  - Gate arms are a problem on Campus. Basic lack of respect for security and the administration. The solution lies with the students themselves. Safety and Security is evaluating other options for dealing with this problem.

- FIG (Su-Lyn Poon and Chris Kingsley)
  - Bulletin Boards for online discussions.
    - Policy Concerns – Su-Lyn and Chris presented a policy that requires every board user to register with their Eudora information. SC will be responsible for appointing folks to moderate the boards (i.e. deal with any problems). SC will also have the duty of approving new forums
    - Current boards include space for Lost and Found; Ride Board; Honor Council Abstracts Discussion; and Student Council Discussions. There will be space for private (club or team) boards as well.

### III. Presidents' Report

- Board of Managers
  - The capital campaign was \$57M short of the end goal on Friday morning. By mid-day, there were pledges of over \$10M and specific plans to challenge alumni and community members to pledge the remaining \$40M. The approximately 35 board members are responsible for about 75 percent of the current progress in the campaign (over \$100M)
  - Athletic center: The board decided to borrow from the endowment to build. Ground-breaking should be Spring 04. Tentative construction completion date: Fall 2005.
  - Student Activities Committee (upon which SC Co-Presidents sit)
    - Talked about the Alcohol Policy.
    - Focusing this year on the senior year experience.

### IV. Survey Results

- First day and a half gained over 350 responses.
- Philadelphia Bus Transportation Poll Results
  - 205 out of approximately 320 wanted buses coming out of the city after SEPTA stops and did not care about buses going in. About 160 folks preferred Friday nights to Saturdays since there is generally less happening on-campus on Friday nights.
  - *VOTE: Students Council decided to begin the Friday night bus service shortly after Fall Break, with SC money and with potential money from the Dean's Office.*
- Newspaper Poll Results
  - Folks are quite in favor of continuing the newspaper program, generally suggesting about 50-75 newspapers a day. SC will post

signs to encourage people to leave newspapers for the next person.

- *VOTE: SC agreed to continue with the program.*
- Cable Television Poll Results
  - Out of 350 voters, 220 said yes, 89 said no.
  - Lauren and Jon report that the Board of Managers was generally shocked that we didn't have cable.
  - Discussion of the Issue
    - There is a one-time fee and then it is here forever paid by the administration. Lauren suggests that this is a one-shot deal, that two years from now the administration will not be receptive if say no now.
    - There was much discussion – pro and con. Let us know how you feel. Email your dorm representative or any member of SC.
  - *SC hopes to vote on this within the next two or three weeks.*

#### V. Activities Committee Report (SC Co-Secretaries)

- FallFest was generally a success despite the weather.
- If your dorm rep is not posting minutes, email him/her!

#### VI. Forum on Smoking in Lunt

- Occurred today. Continued discussion and ultimate decision will be shared with the community.

#### VII. Dorm Rep thoughts

- Looking into the idea of getting a pro-bono lawyer who could answer legal questions for the first time for free. Might be an interesting thing to look into.

#### VIII. Moment of Silence

---

*SC Minutes are taken by the SC Co-Secretaries Joshua Mertz and Spencer Walters, who while charmingly handsome are only human and therefore sometimes make mistakes.*

**Next meeting:** Sunday, October 26, 2003. 5 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Members Present:** Jon Debrich, Lauren Hradecky (Co-Presidents); Nate Favini (VP); Greg Reed and David Poolman (Co-Treasurers); Joshua Mertz and Spencer Walters (Co-Secretaries); Chris Kingsley (Off Campus); Samara Thomas (BMC); Karl Blumenthal (Gummere); Aleem Ahmed (Barclay); Elena Stavrakas (houses); Rachel Moston and Arie Beitman (Lloyd); Jonathan Williams (Lunt); Sarah Riehl (Jones); Cathy Fong, Andrew Katz, and Denise Marica (HCA)

## I. Moment of Silence

## II. Cable Issue

- Discussion – Should SC spend approximately \$6500 to install cable in 3 major common spaces on campus?
  - Lauren and Jon D. raised that in the survey, 69.4 percent of people wanted cable.
  - Several folks expressed positive views, others expressed negative views. People asked what else could be done with the approximately \$6500?
  - Jon D. suggested that this is a one-shot deal, that after this year, the administration will probably not offer to pay the bill each month for cable.
- Vote – Should SC spend approximately \$6500 to install cable in 3 major common spaces on campus?
  - Jon Debrich YES
  - Lauren Hradecky YES
  - Nate Favini YES
  - Spencer Walters NO
  - Joshua Mertz NO
  - David Poolman YES
  - Greg Reed NO
  - Chris Kingsley YES
  - Rachel Moston YES
  - Arie Beitman NO
  - Sarah Riehl NO
  - Karl Blumenthal NO
  - Jon Williams NO
  - Aleem Ahmed YES
  - Cathy Fong ABSTAIN
  - Andrew Katz YES
  - Denise Marica YES
  - Elena Stavrakas YES
  - Samara Thomas YES
- YES 12. NO 6. ABSTAIN 1.

## III. Philly Bus Service

- Discussion of Location. Concerns were expressed that Market East is not the safest part of Philadelphia late at night.



#### IV. Activities Committee Report (SC Co-Secretaries)

- Murder Mystery dinner is this weekend, a dinner for students and parents hosted by Students' Council.

#### V. Appointments Committee Report (Vice President)

- Appointed Aleem Ahmed and Julie Kleinman to the Distinguished Visitor's Committee.

#### VI. Moment of Silence

**Next meeting:** Sunday, November 2, 2003. 5 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Members Present:** Jon Debrich, Lauren Hradecky (Co-Presidents); Nate Favini (VP); David Poolman (Co-Treasurer); Spencer Walters (Co-Secretary); Chris Kingsley (Off Campus); Samara Thomas (BMC); Karl Blumenthal and Amy Havassy (Gummere); James Chappel (Comfort); Aleem Ahmed (Barclay); Elena Stavrakas (houses); Rachel Moston and Arie Beitman (Lloyd); Jonathan Williams (Lunt); and Sarah Riehl (Jones)

## I. Moment of Silence

## II. Presidents' Report

- Jon declared the murder mystery dinner a success, with approximately 200 student and parent attendees.
- Jon and Lauren announced that bus service from Philly (Market East) to Haverford will begin on Friday, November 7, 2003. The Dean's Office will cover half the cost of the program this semester.

## III. Activities Committee Report (SC Co-Secretaries)

- The Screw Your Roommate dance is on Saturday, November 8, 2003. That is soon.
- Suggestions are being taken for Snow Ball. Email [scan@go.haverford.edu](mailto:scan@go.haverford.edu) to voice your opinions about food, music, and karaoke/ activities at Snow Ball.

## IV. Appointments Committee Report (Vice President)

- Appointed Liana Eskola and Steph Carnes Customs Committee Heads.
- Appointed Karen Revere and Brendan Wattenberg Peer Awareness Committee Heads.
- Appointed Becky Strattan Honor Code Orienteer Committee Head.

## V. General Concerns

- Sarah Riehl expressed concern about the shower curtains in Jones since they do not fully cover the shower entryway. She will email Matha Thornton, Housing Director, to find out if they can get new ones.
- Arie Beitman expressed concern about the attendance at SC meetings, suggesting that there are some SC members that he has never seen.
- Chris Kingsley expressed concern about the general Haverford student body's accessibility to some student groups, like FUCS.
- Amy Havassy said that the Gummere washing machines often eat her money and will contact Matha Thornton to get her money back and fix the problem.
- Karl Blumenthal expressed concern about the lack of minutes from the last meeting. Indeed the SC Co-Secretaries took responsibility, Spencer blaming Josh since Josh wasn't at the meeting to defend himself. These minutes include minutes from the previous meeting (19 October) below.
- Aleem Ahmed said that he was interested in looking into a "yellow bike system," similar to what the Bryn Mawr Greens do. The basic idea is to buy a bunch of general use bikes that all students can use, through some sort of loan system.

## VI. Moment of Silence

---

*SC Minutes are taken by the SC Co-Secretaries Joshua Mertz and Spencer Walters and are therefore thought to be reliable interpretations of reality to the extent that you think they are not insane.*



**Next meeting:** Sunday, November 9, 2003. 5 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Members Present:** Lauren Hradecky (Co-President); Nate Favini (VP); David Poolman and Greg Reed (Co-Treasurer); Spencer Walters and Joshua Mertz (Co-Secretaries); Samara Thomas (BMC); Karl Blumenthal and Amy Havassy (Gummere); James Chappel (Comfort); Aleem Ahmed (Barclay); Rachel Moston and Arie Beitman (Lloyd); Andrew Katz and Adam Goldstein (HCA); and Sarah Riehl (Jones)

## I. Moment of Silence

## II. Project Report – Lauren Hradecky

- Bus out of Philly will begin this Friday, November 7 and will leave Philly at 1 a.m. and 2:30 a.m. Final location of pick-up will be decided Monday morning. The Dean's Office is splitting with SC the cost of this program for this semester – approximately \$300 per night.
- Lauren and Jon are contacting some local alumni attorneys to see if any might be available for students who might have legal questions or problems and are unfamiliar with the local judicial system.
- Lauren and Jon are in contact with External Relations about the *Home for the Holidays* program. Local Haverford-related families will host students who can't go home for major holidays. This is a great way to get a home-cooked meal and to meet some interesting Haverford people.
- FIG. Checking in on the status of the Boards and how well they're working for the student body. Feedback seems positive so far.

## III. Yellow Bike Project – Aleem Ahmed

- Aleem raised as an idea at the last meeting the possibility of getting a bunch of bikes that are shared publicly among students, either very informally (folks take them and leave them wherever they're going) or more formally (folks check them out of the library). Aleem has emailed the folks at Carleton who run their program, and a large part of it is having pretty crappy bikes that don't get stolen and having a club that is able to fix the bikes. Aleem is meeting on Thursday with the head of Bryn Mawr's program, which is run through Canaday Library, from which folks can check bikes out.

## IV. Activities Committee Report (SC Co-Secretaries)

- The Screw Your Roommate dance is on Saturday, November 8, 2003. That is soon. Introductions will be in Marshall at 6:30 p.m. and Founders dance will run from 11 p.m. to 3 a.m.
- Suggestions are being taken for Snow Ball. Email [scan@go.haverford.edu](mailto:scan@go.haverford.edu) to voice your opinions about food, music, and karaoke/ activities at Snow Ball. Apparently, the Philly Phanatic might be coming or at least that's what I heard. Did you hear that? I don't know, somebody told me.

## V. Appointments Committee Report (Vice President)

- Appointed Patrick Stewart '07 to the Committee on Investment and Social Responsibility.
- Appointed Matthew Schechter '04 to Haverfest Head.

## V. General Concerns – Dorm Rep Thoughts

- Aleem Ahmed raised the issue of Styrofoam cups in the D.C. being pretty environmentally unfriendly. Others relayed that this has been brought up a number of times, and paper cups are apparently much, much more expensive than Styrofoam cups. Aleem is determined to talk to John Francone, however, and all present agreed that environmental sustainability is a good thing, at least on some level.
- Samara Thomas was interested in possibly changing the Blue Bus schedule. Lauren directed her to Steve Green, at the Bryn Mawr College Transportation Department.

## VI. Moment of Silence

**Next meeting:** Sunday, November 16, 2003. 5 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Members Present:** Jon Debrich (Co-Presidents); Nate Favini (VP); Greg Reed and David Poolman (Co-Treasurers); Joshua Mertz and Spencer Walters (Co-Secretaries); Chris Kingsley (Off Campus); Samara Thomas (BMC); Karl Blumenthal and Amy Havassey (Gummere); James Chappel (Comfort); Arjun Tasker (Barclay); Rachel Moston and Arie Beitman (Lloyd); Jonathan Williams (Lunt); Sarah Riehl (Jones); Cathy Fong, Andrew Katz, and Adam Goldstein (HCA).

## I. Moment of Silence

## II. Bus Program

- The first night of the bus out of Philadelphia program was successful. It was "First Friday" and there were 25-30 people on the first bus – at 1 a.m. There were about 15 people on the second bus at 2:30 a.m.
- The bus program will continue every Friday night through December 12 (not Friday, November 28, the day after Thanksgiving).

## III. Volmont Program

- As in past years, the ACC wants SC to pay for a coordinator of the Volmont program, which is the volunteer monitor program that keeps computer labs open after normal hours. The monitors themselves are volunteers, but they request payment of \$200 per semester for the coordinator, who is a student.  
Volmont – want to pay a coordinator for the semester for the opening all of the computer

## IV. Varsity Athletics Forum

- Co-sponsored by SC and the athletic department, a discussion forum about current proposals to reform Division III Athletics will be held on November 19 at 7 p.m., location to be announced. Jon says that within five years, there may be a vote to create another division for the most academically elite colleges, and that students should have input into the decisions this College is making about its and our future.

## V. Activities Committee Report

- Screw occurred last night, 8 November 2003. It was highly successful, and the SC Co-Secretaries thank Matthew Rosen '05 for emceeding the skits in Marshall.
- SC is concerned about alcohol policy violations around campus and at the dance throughout the night. We'd like to remind folks that a student-administered Alcohol Policy is a real privilege and a huge responsibility.

## VI. Dorm Rep Thoughts/ Ideas for Goals the rest of the year

- Karl Blumenthal is interested in working on energy conservation in the dorms if this is within SC's powers.
- Arie Beitman wonders about the state of recycling in Lloyd, saying that most recycling bins are not well marked as such.
- James Chappel wonders about wireless networking at Haverford. Wireless Internet access is available in Magill and in parts of the INSC.

## VII. Moment of Silence

**Next meeting:** Sunday, November 22nd, 2003. 5 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Present:** Jon Debrich and Lauren Hradecky (Co-Presidents); Nate Favini (VP); David Poolman (Co-Treasurer); Joshua Mertz and Spencer Walters (Co-Secretaries); Chris Kingsley (Off Campus); Samara Thomas (BMC); Amy Havassy and Karl Blumenthal (Gummere); Elena Stavrakas (houses); Aleem Ahmed, Arjun Tasker (Barclay); Arie Beitman (Lloyd); Jonathan Williams (Lunt); Sarah Riehl (Jones); Cathy Fong, Andrew Katz, and Adam Goldstein (HCA).

**Absent:** Greg Reed (Co-Treasurer); Rachel Moston (Lloyd); Jennifer Whitlock (Leeds); James Chappel (Comfort); and Denise Marica (HCA).

I. Moment of Silence

II. Event Policy

- a. There seem to have been more incidents of harassment and fighting at events this year. These seem mostly to be due to folks who are not Haverford students but are coming to Haverford events.
- b. Lauren and Jon are going to meet with the deans, and will invite the campus, particularly leaders of organizations that throw events (e.g., FUCS, Social Fund) to talk to them about the event policy and to start a dialogue about this issue.
- c. The SC Events Policy (<http://students.haverford.edu/sc/policies/events.html>) is available online. Key points:
  - i. A member of the organizing group is required to be at the door of the event, restricting access to members of the tri-college community and invited guests.
  - ii. Alcohol is not to be present at the event, in accordance with the Alcohol Policy. It is the responsibility of the event thrower to ensure that this is followed.
- d. *Failure to follow the SC Events Policy can result in serious consequences for the individuals/ groups hosting and organizing the event. This may include funding cuts for future events.*

III. Athletics

- a. SC is co-sponsoring (with the Athletic Department) a discussion Wednesday, November 19 in Chase at 7 p.m. concerning the future of athletic participation at Haverford College. President Tritton will be attending a conference to talk about reforms to Division III athletics and wants to incorporate real student input into his (Haverford's) opinion. Greg Kannerstein will be there moderating the discussion.

IV. Board of Managers

- a. The Board of Managers is coming on December 6-7.

V. Committee Repots

- a. Appointments
- b. Budget
  - i. The treasurers just worked out a loan with the Oxford Blues, to allow them to produce their CD.

- c. Activities
  - i. Snowball: Planning continues.
  - ii. Next semester, SC would like to sponsor another event like Haverford Idol of Spring 2003. Ideas or suggestions? Email [scan@GO.haverford.edu](mailto:scan@GO.haverford.edu).

#### VI. Dorm Rep Thoughts

- a. Karl Blumenthal (Gummere) is working on conservation project with Greens that he would like SC to be involved in and supportive of. He will be talking to Housing Director Matha Thornton and other administrators about possibilities for energy conservation in dorms. He is also working on plans for publicizing energy conservation, etc. [kblument@haverford.edu](mailto:kblument@haverford.edu)
- b. Aleem Ahmed is still working hard on the “Yellow bike” program. He recently met with the leader of the program at BMC. At BMC, folks take bikes out in a very formal way. He envisions this as a much less formal program at Haverford, where folks can just take bikes from place to place and leave them perhaps in designated locations. BMC paid \$600 for ten bikes from a local place that refurbishes found bikes. [aahmed@haverford.edu](mailto:aahmed@haverford.edu)
- c. Buses – Did anyone go this weekend? SAGA’s Taste the Rainbow probably kept most people on campus.

#### VII. Moment of Silence

---

*The Students' Council Co-Secretaries take minutes at each meeting, mainly because they have nothing better to do. And also, it's required by order of the Haverford College Students' Association Constitution, so if they didn't do it, they could get impeached. The process for doing this is a Special Plenary, at which 2/3 of the school must come together to “Gray Davis” Spencer Walters and Joshua Mertz. This seems highly unlikely, so they feel pretty secure with their jobs. Of course so too did the Hessians feel secure. And then along came this guy named George Washington*



**Next meeting:** Sunday, December 7, 2003. 5 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Present:** Jon Debrich and Lauren Hradecky (Co-Presidents); Nate Favini (VP); David Poolman and Greg Reed (Co-Treasurers); Spencer Walters (Co-Secretary); Samara Thomas (BMC); Amy Havassy and Karl Blumenthal (Gummere); Elena Stavrakas (houses); Aleem Ahmed (Barclay); Rachel Moston (Lloyd); Jonathan Williams (Lunt); Sarah Riehl (Jones); Cathy Fong (HCA); and James Chappel (Comfort);

**Absent:** Joshua Mertz (Co-Secretary); Chris Kingsley (Off Campus); Arjun Tasker (Barclay); Arie Beitman (Lloyd); Jennifer Whitlock (Leeds); Andrew Katz, Adam Goldstein and Denise Marica (HCA).

I. Moment of Silence

II. Event Policy

- a. Lauren and Jon met with several students who are hosting events the rest of the semester to inform them of the Events Policy and its importance in safeguarding Haverford students.
- b. SC would like to thank the S-Chords and La Fiesta for generally following the recommendations this weekend. La Fiesta hired a guard from Safety and Security to stand at the door as IDs were checked. Though we hope this is not necessary at all events, it is an option for any student group.
- c. *All student groups should make Safety and Security aware of an event at least a few days in advance. They can change the patrolling pattern during the event to make sure that it is safe and nothing gets out of hand.*
- d. The SC Events Policy (<http://students.haverford.edu/sc/policies/events.html>) is available online. Key points:
  - i. A member of the organizing group is required to be at the door of the event, restricting access to members of the tri-college community and invited guests.
  - ii. Alcohol is not to be present at the event, in accordance with the Alcohol Policy. It is the responsibility of the event thrower to ensure that this is followed.
- e. *Failure to follow the SC Events Policy can result in serious consequences for the individuals/ groups hosting and organizing the event.*
  - i. SC discussed this aspect of the Events policy. Withholding future funds is a possibility for any group that does not comply with the regulations of the policy.
  - ii. Lauren said that it is possible to free students from the duties of their appointed position if they do not follow the regulations. That is, for example, people who hold appointed position, like Haverfest or FUCS, could lose their appointment if they disregard the policy.
    1. Karl Blumenthal suggested that this sort of blanket rule could cause problems. He feels that these should be handled on a case-by-case basis and can be evaluated better that way.

- f. Students who have questions about procedure for throwing an event should speak to Amy Ham, Student Activities Coordinator, ([aham@haverford.edu](mailto:aham@haverford.edu)). They may also contact SC at [sc@haverford.edu](mailto:sc@haverford.edu).

### III. Committee Repots

- a. Appointments
- b. Budget
  - i. The check request form is available on GO and should be used by all folks who want to request checks, even if those folks are on SC.
  - ii. For this week, because of the Thanksgiving holiday, office hours will be on Tuesday night from 9 to 10 p.m.
- c. Activities
  - i. Snowball: Planning continues.
  - ii. Chose dates for next semester.
  - iii. Pretty sure that dances will be moved earlier in the evening, from current 11 p.m. to 3 a.m. to a possible 10 p.m. to 2 a.m., or perhaps splitting the difference, 10:30 p.m. to 2:30 a.m. This was a fascinating, if controversial discussion.
  - iv. Next semester, SC would like to sponsor another event like Haverford Idol of Spring 2003. Ideas or suggestions? Email [scan@GO.haverford.edu](mailto:scan@GO.haverford.edu).

### IV. Dorm Rep Thoughts

- a. Sarah Riehl inquired about sending an email to Jones since Safety and Security is trying to figure out who will be on-campus during Thanksgiving break, in order to target patrols to more populated areas.
- b. Karl Blumenthal (Gummere) is continuing to work on the conservation project. He is in a “fact-finding” phase, meeting with various administrators to find out the College’s current energy costs, etc. ([kblument@haverford.edu](mailto:kblument@haverford.edu))
- c. Amy Havassy lamented about laundry problems in Gummere. Apparently Gummere residents are very bad about moving their clothes along the washer-dryer-foldedinyourroom continuum. This has potentially messy consequences.
  - i. Lauren revealed that Barclay residents have a similar problem. Greg Reed corroborated. It must be a freshmen problem.
  - ii. Incidentally, as long as you’re reading, laundry should be on the OneCard system by as early as second semester.

- V. Spencer left and can therefore only guess what happened next. He could talk about what happened on his way to his next engagement and his next engagement itself, but that seems pretty unrelated. So presumably some things were said. Eventually, the meeting ended with VI (see below).

### VI. Moment of Silence

---

*The Students’ Council Co-Secretaries usually go to the meetings and take minutes. Sometimes they have fencing meets or one of them has to leave early. In this case, they write minutes anyway, and the normal warning holds even more true: “These minutes represent the views and perspectives of the SC Secretaries and not necessarily those of the rest of SC, Haverford College, Tom Tritton or Chevvy Chase ” ([scan@go.haverford.edu](mailto:scan@go.haverford.edu))*

**Next meeting:** Sunday, December 14th, 2003. 5 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Members Present:** Jon Debrich, Lauren Hradecky (Co-Presidents); Nate Favini (VP); Greg Reed and David Poolman (Co-Treasurers); Joshua Mertz and Spencer Walters (Co-Secretaries); Chris Kingsley (Off-Campus); Samara Thomas (BMC); Karl Blumenthal and Amy Havassy (Gummere); Aleem Ahmed (Barclay); Rachel Moston and Arie Beitman (Lloyd); Sarah Riehl (Jones); James Chappel (Comfort); Andrew Katz (HCA).

**Members Absent:** Elena Stavrakas (houses); Jonathan Williams (Lunt); Cathy Fong, Adam Goldstein and Denise Marica (HCA); and James Chappel (Comfort); Arjun Tasker (Barclay); Jennifer Whitlock (Leeds).

## I. Moment of Silence/ Recognition of Pearl Harbor Day

## II. Co-President's Report

### A. Bi-semester Board of Manager's Meeting occurred this weekend.

- Report on the *Educating To Lead, Educating to Serve* capital campaign: The board announced that we are only \$49 million short in the campaign, which is set to conclude by June 30, 2004. And they are currently in contact with approximately \$53 million worth of donations.
- The Board reflected on the *Visions* statement (<http://www.haverford.edu/visions>), which the College Planning Committee had presented. The Board outlined specific goals for its change. One of its large issues is that it wants the College to be a bar-setter around the country, a leader among its peers. Many felt the current statement did not project this idea.

### B. Cable has been officially approved by the administration and will be installed in Gummere basement, Comfort basement, and Barclay lounge over January break.

### C. Event Policy

- The Co-Presidents expressed concern that two major events on-campus this past weekend didn't follow the event policy as strictly as they had hoped. Though there were not reports of either event having unwanted guests, there was alcohol present at the event in Lunt Basement. The community is reminded that alcohol is prohibited in any public space or any space at which there is a public event. Specific guidelines are available in the alcohol policy (<http://students.haverford.edu/sc/policies/alcoholpolicy.html>).
- SC continued the discussion about how to create a culture that views ID checking as both a useful and easy thing, and, in the mean time, how to enforce these regulations.

## III. Committee Report

### A. Activities

- Snowball, Saturday, December 13, 2003, 10 p.m. to 2 a.m., Dining Center
- SC members will be checking IDs at the door and will prevent alcohol from being brought into the Dining Center.
- We always want to hear your ideas about events and dance ideas. Complaining isn't warranted unless you're also complaining about your own lack of creativity.  
([scan@go.haverford.edu](mailto:scan@go.haverford.edu))

### B. Budgeting

- The Co-Treasurers will host their final office hours this week, Wednesday from 8-10 p.m. in the SC Office. Final Check Requests for the semester must be received before then!

C. Appointments – no report

IV. Dorm Representatives' Thoughts

- A. Concern about heat in dorms (Lauren H). Amy Havassy said that Gummere 1/2 also has had heating problems. Andrew Katz said he had heating problems, but they were taken care of.
- B. Aleem said that the Dining Committee will meet soon and asked for suggestions. Folks noted that there is a lengthy discussion online on the GO Boards about the food at Haverford, and that John Francone has taken the time to respond to the students' concerns.

V. Moment of Silence

**Next meeting:** Sunday, February 22, 2004. 6 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Members Present:** Jon Debrich, Lauren Hradecky (Co-Presidents); Nate Favini (VP); Greg Reed and David Poolman (Co-Treasurers); Spencer Walters (Co-Secretary); Taylor DiGravina and Amy Havassy (Gummere); Aleem Ahmed and Micah Sieber (Barclay); Elizabeth Bonapfel and Arie Beitman (Lloyd); Sarah Riehl (Jones); Constantinos Vassiliou (Comfort); Katie Crowley, Cathy Fong, Andrew Katz, and Sarah Steenbergen (HCA).

**Members Absent:** Joshua Mertz (Co-Secretary) and Jonathan Williams (Lunt)

## I. Moment of Silence

## II. Committee Report

### A. Activities (Spencer Walters)

- Oldies Dance sponsored by SC Saturday, February 14, 2004, 10 p.m. to 2 a.m. in Ryan Gymnasium. SC thanks *Laurie Monhait* and *Bren Wattenberg* for organizing and planning the dance.
- Haverford Idol auditions are Wednesday, February 11. *Haverford Idol: Rich Carthas Takes on Challengers* will be held on Saturday, February 21, 2004.
- SC members will be checking IDs at the door and will maintain alcohol free events.
- Any activity ideas? Email us suggestions. We're willing to work with you to help make an event that you want possible. ([scan@go.haverford.edu](mailto:scan@go.haverford.edu))

### B. Budgeting (Greg Reed and Dave Poolman)

- Bi-Co Budgets are now complete. Haverford club budgets were due on Sunday and are having interviews Feb. 9 to Feb. 12.

### C. Appointments (Nate Favini)

- Lauren Hradecky, Austin Bailey, and Mike Gordon were named to the Speakers Committee.
- Andrew Cantino, Allie Rosenberg, and Ingrid Weiss were named to the Committee on Environmental Responsibility.

## III. Appointments within SC

### A. Dorm Representative's Choice of Committees. Each dorm rep. is obligated to serve on one of the three committees.

- Andrew Katz, Arie Beitman, Sarah Riehl, and Elizabeth Bonapfel joined the Appointments Committee.
- Micah Sieber, Amy Havassy, and Jon Williams joined the Budgeting Committee.
- Taylor DiGravina, Aleem Ahmed, Constantinos Vassiliou, Katie Crowley, Cathy Fong, and Sarah Steenbergen joined the Activities Committee.

### B. Constantinos Vassiliou and Arie Beitman/Elizabeth Bonapfel were appointed to be the SC representatives to JSAAPP.

### C. Sarah Riehl will stay on as a second semester as Coke representative. If you have a problem with a vending machine, email her at [coke@haverford.edu](mailto:coke@haverford.edu)

### D. Amy Havassy will be the Comment Board liaison for this semester, taking old postings off and giving them to the College archives.

### E. Sarah Riehl and Aleem Ahmed will act as Plenary Co-heads, organizing volunteers.

#### IV. Co-President's Report

##### A. Buses

- Buses out of Philadelphia are running every Friday night, leaving from the corner of 12<sup>th</sup> and Market Streets at 1 a.m. and 2:30 a.m. This is now a joint Bryn Mawr and Haverford program, which makes it more cost effective.
- There was one communication error, and the bus did not show up on January 30. The affected students were reimbursed for the taxi ride.

B. Cable has been installed in Gummere basement, Comfort basement, and Barclay lounge. It is now operational.

C. Head of Security Tom King contacted SC about coming up with a list of student spaces in which to install keycard access in the next phase. The dining center basement offices/lounges, the various Campus Center offices, along with locker-rooms were discussed. If you know of a student space that should have priority for key card access, email [sc@haverford.edu](mailto:sc@haverford.edu).

D. The College is going through a hiring process for a new Director of Facilities. Housing Director Matha Thornton asked for student volunteers to sit in on the interviews. Andrew Katz, Cathy Fong, Aleem Ahmed, and Micah Sieber volunteered to do this.

E. Plenary is scheduled for Sunday, February 15, 2004. SC will arrive at 6 p.m. Doors open at 7 p.m.

#### V. Dorm Representatives' Thoughts

a. Aleem Ahmed spoke about the Yellow Bike program. A campus wide email will go out to inform folks that they MUST register their bikes if on campus. Then, unregistered bikes will be taken away. Registered bikes for long gone graduates will also be taken. This should give us a good start to establishing a fleet of public use bikes that folks could pick up and ride from the DC to the apartments or Gummere to Lunt, for two examples. This innovative program can use your input and your enthusiasm. Email [aahmed@haverford.edu](mailto:aahmed@haverford.edu) with ideas, or if *you're interested in fixing bikes*.

b. Aleem also spoke about the Dining Committee. Jackie Richards joins Aleem on the committee this semester. They are currently working on the bin collection program. There don't seem to be enough bins around the dorms. Dining Services loses thousands of dollars a year in replacing dishes – money that could be going to new varieties of cheese, for example.

c. Elizabeth Bonapfel asked whether the recycling from the dorms actually gets recycled. We're not taking that one at face value, and EB is on the trail to find out the truth.

d. Constantinos inquired about the television situation in Comfort, slightly concerned about how it will play out in terms of people having remote control power, if you know what I mean. It was discussed and decided that he will send out an email in a few weeks to get input about how things are going with the television and cable situation.

e. Spencer spoke about Staff Appreciation Day. Folks who are serious about thanking the College's staff and interested in planning or giving input into an event to do this should come to a meeting, tentatively scheduled for Thursday, February 19, 2004. Also, email [swalters@haverford.edu](mailto:swalters@haverford.edu).

#### VI. Moment of Silence

---

*These SC minutes were taken by Spencer Walters, Co-Secretary. As such, they are not representative of any coherent person's perspective of the events that took place. It's hard to say whether Spencer even was present at the meeting, but the hope is that these minutes will be useful in letting you know what your SC is doing these dog days of winter*

## SPRING PLENARY 2004

We reached quorum of 454 at 7:36.

Plenary began at 7:42.

I) Moment of Silence (called by Jon Debrich)

II) Welcome to Plenary

Jon welcomed everyone.

III) Rules of Order and Agenda

a) Three minutes to look over Rules of Order and Agenda

b) Five minutes for questions concerning Rules of Order and Agenda

There were no questions.

c) Call for Unfriendly Amendments: 75 signatures needed—seven minutes allowed to gather signatures and hand in the amendment to be extended by seven minutes once Scott Simpson 04 introduced an unfriendly amendment to strike item IV from the agenda.

d) Presentation of recognized Unfriendly Amendments: five minutes

Scott: I think we should get rid of Resolution #1 because it upsets the system of checks and balances. We should have the option to change the agenda during plenary so that insignificant resolutions won't waste time. We should also be able to prevent a few people from creating a lot of resolutions.

i. Question and Answer: five minutes

Zach Withers 06: Why strike this from the agenda instead of arguing against it in the pro-con debate?

Scott: Dangerous resolutions shouldn't make it to the agenda.

Zach: So should we name this the Scott-Simpson-knows-what-you-want-to-vote-for-resolution?

Josh Mertz 06: Why didn't you bring this up in previous SC meetings?

Scott: It was brought up, and why does this matter? Zach wouldn't withdraw the resolution.

ii. Pro-Con debate: ten minutes

pro: Cole Sorrelgreen 04: Don't discuss this resolution; we have too many already.

con: Zach Withers 06: Time spent arguing is time lost for debating the resolution; we're wasting time by trying to strike this.

pro: Chris Migliaccio 05: We'll lose quorum if this takes too long. This resolution doesn't affect plenary.

con: Chris Bates-Withers 05: What will we accomplish by striking it that we can't accomplish by voting against it? We should put ideas out to student body.

pro: Scott Simpson 04: pro

con: Ben Wolff 04: An unfriendly amendment says that we can't be trusted to debate things and we just want to vote them down. It's more democratic to argue about them.

pro: Brian Richichi 06: This is a useful tool, and we should trust each other to use it responsibly.

con: Greg Reed 06: con

pro: Scott Simpson 04: It's an undemocratic resolution.

con: Emi Bryant 06: How is this undemocratic? It's more democratic to discuss it.

pro: Charles Vos 04 and Nora Wasserman 04: The Lunt resolution was stricken and didn't die; plenary isn't always best place for compromise.

con: Zach Withers 06: Everything should be heard and discussed.

pro: Charles Vos 04: If we can use it responsibly, strike it.

con: Chris Ody 04: con

pro: Cole Sorrelgreen 04: Let's vote and get on with plenary.

con: Ben Wolff 04: We could still vote it down after we discuss it.

pro: Scott Simpson 04: Vote for the unfriendly amendment so we can hear the important stuff.

Moment of silence

iii. Vote on Unfriendly Amendment, majority rules

The unfriendly amendment passed, and resolution 1 will be stricken from the agenda.

e) Review the new rules of order and agenda

IV) Resolution #1—Ensuring That All Resolutions Are Heard—Zach Withers

~~a) Presenters introduce resolution: five minutes~~

~~b) Question and Answer Session: ten minutes to be extended no more than twice~~

~~c) Pro-Con presentations: twelve minutes to be extended by ten minutes no more than 3x~~

~~d) Response to Pro-Con debate by resolution presenters: three minutes~~

~~e) Call for Unfriendly Amendments: 75 signatures needed—seven minutes to gather~~

~~f) Presentation of recognized Unfriendly Amendments: five minutes~~

~~i. Question and Answer: five minutes~~

~~ii. Pro-Con debate: eight minutes~~

~~iii. Vote on Unfriendly Amendment, 2/3 vote needed to pass~~

~~g) Moment of Silence~~

~~h) Vote on Final Resolution, majority rules~~

V) Resolution #2—Budgeting Guidelines—Greg Reed and Dave Poolman

a) Presenters introduce resolution: five minutes

Greg: We're dealing with problems in the Constitution regarding the office of treasurer and the budgeting process. Dave: There's nothing in the Constitution about budgeting guidelines. We want something to give treasurers in the future so they have guidelines so everything will be more fair.

b) Question and Answer Session: ten minutes to be extended no more than twice

Oleg Nudelman 05: The guidelines say that budgeting should depend on the popularity of clubs. How do you plan to measure the students' interest in any particular club?

Greg: We measure clubs' popularity by participation.

Reid Sherman 04: How do you determine when food is essential to events?

Dave: Food is essential when a lot of people show up drunk, which is why SC events always have food.

Carolyn Hotchkiss 06: Why are food and drinks singled out?

Greg: A lot of organizations budget for it for their meetings, and with so many more clubs, SC has less money, and food and drinks at meetings aren't always essential to the



organizations.

Erica Phillips 04: Will you explain part VA1?

Greg: We've had problems with Bryn Mawr because they don't budget at the same time as we do. We'd like to leave 2 weeks at the end of each semester so students can determine what they'll need for the next semester

Erica: When does Bryn Mawr budget?

Greg: Their policy is similar to what we're proposing now; they budget at the end of the semester.

Kristen Cox 04: It's useful to have food for cultural clubs—is it prohibited to use SC funds for food?

Dave: You can use SC funds for food, just not alcohol.

Ariel Emmett 04: Would you budget during finals?

Greg: Nothing is set in stone yet. These are just general guidelines that will allow prepared clubs to submit budgets in May?

Ariel: So would budgeting be during finals?

Greg: No.

Isaac Schamberg 07: People this year shouldn't be held accountable for last year's spending.

Greg: Treasurers will take this into consideration.

Chris Migliaccio 05: Would you do 3 budgetings then?

Greg: No, next year's treasurers will budget this spring for next fall.

c) Pro-Con presentations: twelve minutes to be extended by ten minutes no more than 3x  
con: Matt Kearney 05: You could argue that no activities benefit all students.

pro: Nate Favini 05: pro

con: Thatcher Mines 04: Food is good. Won't this be difficult to organize at the end of the semester? It's better to leave budgeting to beginning of semester.

pro: Rich Carthas 05: Don't get hung up on not being able to have food.

con: Scott Sheppard 06: It's too subjective.

pro: Charles Vos 04: You could bring an amendment to the amendment if you have problem with it. Don't vote against this just cause of the food issue; bring a resolution.

con: Diane Fruchtman 04: It's harder to budget at the end of the semester when you don't know who next semester's members will be. Frosh should have say in what the club budgets for.

pro: Chris Migliaccio 05: It's good to make things less subjective, and frosh don't make decisions about what a club's money gets spent on.

con: Carolyn Hotchkiss 06: I'm going to present an unfriendly amendment to remove section d on food.

pro: Chris Bates-Withers 05: It's good to give people guidelines.

con: Spencer Walters 05: I'm going to present an unfriendly amendment to get rid of section 5 part a. Some frosh may have good ideas and want to start clubs, and they should be able to do that.

pro: Scott Simpson 04: Two years ago the treasurers misplaced a lot of money. We should have guidelines so we don't have any more disasters. As of now, treasurers can do whatever they want, and we should have some set of guidelines.

d) Response to Pro-Con debate by resolution presenters: three minutes

Greg: Section 5 clause a will relieve strain on treasurers to budget everything at the beginning of the semester. Budgeting at the end of the previous semester will not be set in stone; final budgeting will be done in the fall. Frosh won't be excluded; these are just preliminary guidelines. Budgeting for non-essential food takes money away from other organizations, and it's important to strengthen entire community.

e) Call for Unfriendly Amendments: 75 signatures needed—seven minutes to gather

Spencer Walters 05 introduced an unfriendly amendment to get rid of section 5 part a.

Carolyn Hotchkiss 06 introduced an unfriendly amendment to remove section d on food.

f) Presentation of recognized Unfriendly Amendments: five minutes

Spencer: I want to strike part V a. The treasurers could still do this if they wanted since there aren't budgetary guidelines; they can budget whenever they want.

i. Question and Answer: five minutes

There were no questions.

ii. Pro-Con debate: eight minutes

pro: Greg Reed 06: I'm not opposed to Spencer's amendment.

con: Chris Kingsley 05: Budgeting ahead of time is a good idea; we're trying to give treasurers more guidelines.

pro: Oleg Nudelman 05: It's good for frosh to be able to start clubs.

con: Nathan Vogel 06: As long as end of semester budgeting is preliminary, then it's fine.

pro: Diane Fruchtman 04: It's not just frosh who would be restricted; it would also apply to people abroad, tri-co, etc. A club shouldn't have to be pre-existing to get money.

con: Jen Carman 06: It's preliminary, not final. And some clubs need money right away; this should be really helpful to the clubs who need money right away.

pro: Diane Fruchtman 04: People get reimbursed if they spend money before budgeting.

con: David 04: Organizations need a better chance to get off the ground.

pro: Spencer Walters 05: This leaves things open to treasurers; they can do a preliminary budget if they want.

Lauren Hradecky announced that there is a typo in the agenda: we actually need 2/3 vote for final resolutions to pass and a majority vote for unfriendly amendments; come speak to her if you have problem with switching back to the way it should be.

iii. Vote on Unfriendly Amendment, ~~2/3~~ majority vote needed to pass

Moment of Silence

The unfriendly amendment passed.

Presentation of recognized Unfriendly Amendments: five minutes

Carolyn Hotchkiss 06: I'd like to remove section V d. Restricting food and drink is bad; all organizations can use food, and it's important for clubs to have food. If we don't have enough money, we should save it in other ways.

i. Question and Answer: five minutes

Chris Migliaccio 05: Have you ever run a club that paid for food?

Carolyn: No.

Chris: How much money do you think clubs spend on food?

Carolyn: I don't know.

Chris: What kind of food is needed to lure people to clubs?

Carolyn: It's up to the club.

Greg Reed 06: Don't you think budgeting for food puts a burden on the budgeters and other people?

Carolyn: We can budget for food in better ways.

Greg: Do you think that spending thousands of dollars for snack food is appropriate when we could use this money to bring in speakers, activities, etc?

Carolyn: The precise amount of money is not an important part of this discussion.

ii. Pro-Con debate: eight minutes

pro: Diane Fruchtman 04: If you don't want people using money for food, don't give it to them.

con: Greg Reed 06: In the past, clubs have already budgeted for thousands of dollars for snack food. This punishes and restricts all organizations; how can we argue for snack food over events?

pro: Oleg Nudelman 05: It's good to set a precedent. We should stop clubs from budgeting for stupid stuff.

con: Erica Phillips 04 read the amendment with emphasis on "essential." The amendment is adequately vague to allow the treasurers to use their discretion.

pro: Brooks Ambrose 06: Please give us more money for clubs, President Tritton. Food is only way to get people to join clubs.

con: Ankur Patel 07: SC doesn't over-budget, and clubs can find other means of financial support

pro: Andrew Yeats 07: Food is legitimate; don't put this in the Constitution.

con: Lauren Adriaansen 07: We could raise funds in other ways to have food at events.

pro: Chris Bates-Withers 05: This doesn't say that SC has to honor every request for funding for food; let the treasurers do their job.

con: Amy Havassy 07: As part of the budgeting committee...clubs ask for twice as much money as we have, and food should be the first thing to go. Eat your own food. Food shouldn't be the incentive to join clubs.

pro: Matt Kearney 05: Look at the word "essential": treasurers are handicapping themselves because food is never essential.

iii. Vote on Unfriendly Amendment, 2/3 majority vote needed to pass

Moment of Silence

The unfriendly amendment fails to pass.

g) Moment of Silence

h) Vote on Final Resolution, ~~majority rules~~ need 2/3 vote

Vote on resolution as presented minus section V clause a; moment of silence. The resolution passed.

VI) Resolution #3—SC Surplus Fund Creation—Spencer Walters

a) Presenters introduce resolution: five minutes

Spencer: I'd like to make a friendly amendment to move my resolution into Greg and Dave's resolution (part 2). We don't have a lot of money, and right now if SC has extra money, SC gets to decide what to do with it. The only way to have a really great speaker or event is to save extra money at the end of the year and put it into an account. I don't want to hear complaints from upperclassmen who won't see the results of this.

b) Question and Answer Session: ten minutes to be extended no more than twice

Oleg Nudelman 05: Why not give extra money to Haverfest?

Spencer: Maybe we could create a committee to oversee the account, but the only way to make people know about this is to have the resolution like this so we know when money will become available.

Emily Pellman 06: If this doesn't pass, where will the money go?

Spencer: SC exec. board will decide what to do with it.

Robin Dean 04: Where did you get the number \$2000?

Spencer: It seemed like a reasonable amount, and I talked to the treasurers this year. We can't take money without repayment unless it's every 4 years. Last year we had \$8000 left.

Robin: What kind of account would this money go into?

Spencer: Just a regular account that would allow savings to add up. \$2000 that doesn't get put in account will be used.

Andrew Peterson 04: How do we know what people will want to do with the account?

Spencer: SC will still keep track of all finances and see what people want.

Trace Frazier 04: What about juniors who are abroad?

Spencer: I don't have a plan to deal with that.

Jason Rusman 07: Would money ever go to a specific club or organization that really needs it?

Spencer: We'll make up for deficits if we have to, but the account is really for surplus money.

Jason: So there won't be any leeway for 3 years?

Spencer: Since we can't tell exactly how much money we'll have, I think it'll be better to wait 4 years.

Jason: Is the debt stuff written into the resolution?

Spencer: Yeah, it's written that debt will be subtracted out.

c) Pro-Con presentations: twelve minutes to be extended by ten minutes no more than 3x  
pro: Cole Sorrelgreen 04: The Roots came when I was a freshman. Pass this resolution so we can afford more stuff.

con: Andrew Peterson 04: Will this actually ensure that people keep track of funds?

d) Response to Pro-Con debate by resolution presenters: three minutes

Spencer: The guidelines aren't completely specific, but the spirit is there. Treasurers will be reasonable in interpreting this.

e) Call for Unfriendly Amendments: 75 signatures needed—seven minutes to gather

There were no unfriendly amendments.

~~f) Presentation of recognized Unfriendly Amendments: five minutes~~

~~i. Question and Answer: five minutes~~

~~ii. Pro-Con debate: eight minutes~~

~~iii. Vote on Unfriendly Amendment, majority vote needed to pass~~

g) Moment of Silence

h) Vote on Final Resolution, need 2/3 vote

The resolution passed.

VII) Resolution #4—Concerning Sexual Harassment—Elizabeth Bonapfel and Brooks Ambrose

a) Presenters introduce resolution: five minutes

The presenters asked for moment of silence. Brooks: This is the beginning of a process to solve the problem of sexual harassment. This resolution is also meant to start debate to see if the campus should solve the problem. This resolution is a statement of intent; it doesn't actually do anything yet. Hopefully we will create a new policy at fall plenary. Elizabeth: This is not the final policy. Right now Deans' Panels and President's Panels are the only ways of dealing with sexual harassment. This doesn't deal with minor instances of sexual harassment. We need to start now to get this into Constitution. We'll present our policy at fall plenary, and next spring we'll amend what we're currently adding to Constitution. Brooks: We'll likely have public forums. Elizabeth: Public forums will give us community feedback.

b) Question and Answer Session: ten minutes to be extended no more than twice

Robin Dean 04: How do you define sexual harassment? Are you defining it more in a social setting?

Brooks: What we're doing is starting a dialogue. Plenary is not an easy fix. Calling somebody a dirty name, etc. needs to be dealt with by a student mediated panel.

Elizabeth: There's no procedure for this. We need a non-intimidating student mediation panel and a definition of what is less severe sexual harassment.

Jen Carman 06: You mentioned the idea that Council is insufficient... why is Honor Council not sufficient? Why not put this in the social honor code?

Elizabeth: We're hoping that this will serve as a facilitation panel. It goes hand-in-hand with the social Honor Code.

Brooks: We want a body that can recommend things to HC. Council is very busy, and maybe this can take some things off their plate.

Elizabeth: Hopefully people can be trained.

Zach Withers 06: It sounds like everything gets shoved into faculty panels.

Elizabeth: Honor council can kick cases to a President's Panel or a Deans' Panel. Sexual assault and rape cases go to Deans' Panels. Most cases that go to Deans' panel are student-faculty cases, not student-student cases.

Zach: What is the point of having HC if everything gets sent to faculty?

Brooks: I dunno... ask somebody who knows.

Karl Blumenthal 06: If this doesn't pass, will you still hold forums?

Elizabeth: We'll probably still hold community forums. But since plenary is such good forum...

John Katsos 07: How would this relate to how HC deals with mediation now?

Brooks: Council's training is tailored to their needs; we need a different type of mediation and hope to get a student mediated panel.

John: Council is trained to conduct mediation panels...what else do you want?

c) Pro-Con presentations: twelve minutes to be extended by ten minutes no more than 3x

con: Cole Sorrelgreen 04: It's an inherently bad idea to put something in the Constitution that we may not do.

pro: Elizabeth: It's a three-part process. We want to change the Constitution and current sexual harassment policy, and we're hoping to get the ball rolling now.

con: Robin Dean 04: The term "sexual harassment" is very vague and can be defined legally and otherwise. People are scared already; don't intimidate them more with ambiguity. Having a panel is a really good idea. Maybe there could be relationship counseling, too. Things that aren't sexual harassment shouldn't be called that. HC can't handle law.

pro: Lauren Adriaansen 07: The separate concern of law is specified. The three-part process would begin dialogue. This is the sort of thing that should be addressed with dialogue.

con: John Katsos 07: Debate needs to be continued throughout the semester, but it is redundant to add in another mediation panel. HC is trained to deal with this. They do a Student Facilitation Panel. It's redundant to ask for another mediation panel.

pro: Brooks: A Deans' Panel is intimidating because it's only if it's serious, but if it's not serious, where does it go? This is a complicated problem that cannot be decided here. Go to the forums.

con: Zach Withers 06: Why are we trying to solve this at plenary? Panels are a great idea, but why are we trying to amend the Constitution before we even know what we're doing? I agree that it's weird if students have to go to a Deans' Panel or a President's Panel.

pro: Nora Spriggs 06: Any means by which we can talk more about this is crucial to our community.

con: Laura Scorr 06: Clarifying honor council procedure...we currently have SFPs; we've all been trained in basic mediation. A serious case would go to a Deans' panel, but the less serious cases would go to an SFP. How would this make things different?

pro: Elizabeth: We want something that is separate from but affiliated with Honor Council. There is intimidation involved with taking things to Honor Council, and we want a non-intimidating environment. We want more specific training catered to issues of harassment.

con: Robin Dean 04: This uses the same term for definition of harassment as United States law, but it can be interpreted in many ways. We should think about finding another term to clearly define sexual harassment.

pro: Elizabeth Sullivan 05: We need to talk about this. This is the first step in the process. We're not making substantial changes; we're making sure something gets done by the deadline.

Time for the pro-con debate expired. A vote to extend pro-con debate by 10 minutes failed, and the pro-con debate was not extended.

d) Response to Pro-Con debate by resolution presenters: three minutes

Brooks: People say that it's not appropriate, but it will at least start forums. Elizabeth: I

beg you not to silence this issue. Sexual harassment has been a problem here since the college went co-ed. We need continued dialogue. Don't silence this any more than it has already been silenced.

e) Call for Unfriendly Amendments: 75 signatures needed—seven minutes to gather  
There were no unfriendly amendments.

~~f) Presentation of recognized Unfriendly Amendments: five minutes~~

~~————— i. Question and Answer: five minutes~~

~~————— ii. Pro-Con debate: eight minutes~~

~~————— iii. Vote on Unfriendly Amendment, majority vote needed to pass~~

g) Moment of Silence

h) Vote on Final Resolution, 2/3 vote needed

The resolution passed.

VIII) Resolution #5—Limiting Trials for Social Code Issues—Dave Langlieb & Mark Robinson

a) Presenters introduce resolution: five minutes

Dave: I'm here for two reasons. 1. Haverford College is too politically correct. 2. I'm sick and tired of being held to a notion of community standards that I know I don't understand. The other day, someone told me a Jewish joke. I laughed, and then I said I was going to take him to Honor Council. Then I realized that I could. This could be breaking the notion of abstract community standards. I have been threatened three times with trials. This is wrong. Therefore, I'm proposing reasonable and understandable guidelines. This resolution doesn't prevent you from confronting. This resolution is the best possible way to resolve these conflicts. If something doesn't fall under state/local law, you shouldn't be held accountable.

b) Question and Answer Session: ten minutes to be extended no more than twice

Cole Sorrelgreen 04: How many semesters have you served on Honor Council?

David: None.

Scott Simpson 04: I'm having trouble finding the resolution in the packet.

David: It's the fifth resolution.

John Katsos 07: If right now everything is ambiguous, do you have to make a rule for everything?

David: No, just for breaking school policy or the law. The only things that can't go are things related to free speech.

Josh McHugh 06: Where does your resolution stand on offensive actions as opposed to offensive statements?

David: Any action that is not specifically prohibited by state, federal, or local law or Haverford policy could not be taken to trial.

Lauren Adriaansen 07: Can you explain the no obligatory resolution part?

David: HC can't hold you accountable.

Andrew Peterson: What is the social aspect? What about community standards?

David: Community standards are garbage. Everyone has different ideas of community standards, and those ideas are all different from Rob Schiff's idea of community

standards “cause he’s fucking insane.”

Lauren Hradecky 05: So do you expect us to memorize every law?

David: Yes.

John Katsos 07: So are trust, concern and respect out the window?

David: In respect to HC, yes. Not with social concerns.

John: And if we try to come to resolution but it doesn’t work and we stay pissed?

David: Then we shouldn’t talk. We’re dealing with an HC issue—holding people accountable. John, as a Republican this resolution is written for you.

John: What about trust, concern, and respect?

David: They can be practiced on individuals.

Kevin Monahan 05: Can you give a reason for this?

David: The fact that the Charlie case was even brought to Council is absurd.

Jon announced that the time for the Q & A period was up. There was a vote on whether or not to extend the Q & A period by ten minutes, and it was decided to extend the Q & A period.

Dave Snyder 04: The way that you represented HC was inaccurate. You can’t threaten to take people to Honor Council. If you confront somebody and can’t work things out, then you email [code@haverford.edu](mailto:code@haverford.edu). Discussion with deans and exec. council then helps decide whether to bring it to council. Then HC decides whether or not to bring it to trial. What is the purpose of what you’re doing?

David: This is a legitimate concern, a political concern.

Robin Dean 04: What relationship do you think this has to Jeremy and Cole’s new Honor Code?

Cole: We’d like to separate ourselves as much as possible from this resolution.

Spencer Walters 05 read the first sentence of the Honor Code then asked: why not revise this in a positive sense by saying that personal expression is encouraged?

David: It’s ambiguous, and it’s just too messy.

Andrew Yeats 07: You made the assertion that the only cases that apply are freedom of speech cases...so why would you phrase it the way it’s phrased? What else are you thinking of?

David: Nothing else. This is saying what can go to trial.

Andrew: Do you see HC as punitive?

David: No, but people are forced to do things, and we should go by restorative justice.

Joshua McHugh 06: If this was passed, how would you expect the Rhoads incident to be handled?

David: By confrontation, and then I would try to get HC to mediate, but if both parties weren’t amenable to a trial, then there would be no trial.

c) Pro-Con presentations: twelve minutes to be extended by ten minutes no more than 3x



con: Cole Sorrelgreen 04: There are situations that are not explicitly addressed in state law or college policy, such as sexual harassment. Why not just say freedom of speech? HC gets to make decisions regarding what is or is not appropriate to go to trial.

pro: David: Cole can't think of one example. Sexual harassment is under law.

con: Jeremy Pober 04: This is not a resolution about freedom of speech. This is a don't-be-a-dipshit clause in the Honor Code. People are going to take offense to things, and if people don't have the good sense to draw the line, then they should be confronted and forced into dialogue.

pro: Chris Migliaccio 05: We shouldn't even open ourselves up to the possibility of people being separated.

con: Joshua McHugh 06: I refuse to go to school in an environment where I can't confront people and bring them to Council. There are some things you just don't do, especially in an academic environment.

pro: Scott Simpson 04: This doesn't mean that you can't confront people. It just means that you can't threaten somebody with a trial.

con: Nathaniel Grabman 07: What about the KKK? What if I dress up like a KKK member and parade around? It's just wrong.

pro: Chris Migliaccio 05: "Just wrong" is retarded...

con: John Katsos 07: These types of things would never go to trial. If dialogue is needed, then it will go to and SFP for mediation. If local, state, and federal law dictate everything, then why do we have an Honor Code?

pro: Scott Simpson 04: If we could eliminate threats, that would be great.

con: Sam Clearman 07: This is the whole point of the Honor Code.

pro: Cole Sorrelgreen 04: Is anyone undecided? It seems like people are clear and we can move on.

con: Laura Perlberger 06: Most of us chose Haverford because of the community standards. This resolution will make Haverford not Haverford.

pro: Chris Kingsley 05: Which Haverford are we talking about? To actually take somebody to trial for stuff like that is ridiculous.

con: Elizabeth Sullivan 05: People seem to think that HC is a policing body. The primary step is confrontation. HC isn't meant to punish. It's ridiculous to undermine the whole idea of confrontation. The Code says that it isn't acceptable to threaten people with a trial.

Jon announced that the time for the pro-con debate had run out, and after voting on whether or not to extend the pro-con debate, it was decided not to extend the debate.

d) Response to Pro-Con debate by resolution presenters: three minutes

David: I understand if you feel that the community standards a vital part of what makes Haverford Haverford. I am asking that it not define HC trials. All my resolution does is ask that you have a basic idea of what you're talking about when you take somebody to trial.

e) Call for Unfriendly Amendments: 75 signatures needed—seven minutes to gather  
There were no unfriendly amendments.

f) ~~Presentation of recognized Unfriendly Amendments: five minutes~~

————— i. ~~Question and Answer: five minutes~~

————— ii. ~~Pro-Con debate: eight minutes~~

————— iii. ~~Vote on Unfriendly Amendment, majority vote needed to pass~~

- g) Moment of Silence
- h) Vote on Final Resolution, 2/3 vote needed  
The resolution failed to pass.

IX) Resolution #6—New Honor Code—Cole Sorrelgreen and Jeremy Pober

- a) Presenters introduce resolution: five minutes

Cole: We took the old code and made a few changes. We made two major changes. First of all, the Code used to be prescription of how we're supposed to act. We want it to be a reflection of how we actually do act. Currently, any violation of the social Code should be confronted, but it's not; this cheapens the Code. With our resolution, you're not breaking the Code every time you fail to confront someone. This makes true violations more meaningful. Jeremy: There are also complaints that no one does anything about not living up to the Code. Cole: We need to define what is a violation of the Code. Right now, not confronting someone for playing music too loud is violation of code. With our resolution this would no longer be a violation. This leaves the Code more open to interpretation.

- b) Question and Answer Session: ten minutes to be extended no more than twice  
Spencer Walters 05: Does the Code have to stand by itself? Is moving ratification to the Constitution ok?

Cole: No, the Code is just part of the Constitution. Moving things between the two documents doesn't change their importance. Jeremy: Shortening the Code will make more people read it.

Carolyn Hotchkiss 06: You said you wanted nothing to do with Dave Langlieb's proposal, but there's still ambiguity in your resolution with "should" instead of "ought."

Jeremy: Now it's up to you to decide if you confront someone.

Carolyn: I don't think that's in your wording.

Cole: We want to leave the Code more open to interpretation. Council can interpret it that way if they want.

Brooks Ambrose 06: The intent of the confrontation clause is to have people do things they're not necessarily comfortable with. Clarify what you said—should you not have to confront someone if someone is sexually harassed?

Cole: You should confront if you think there has been a violation of the Code.

Ryan Sajac 06: Where did you put some of the things that used to be in the Code?

Jeremy: We moved it to the Constitution; the procedural stuff is no longer in the Code.

Kristen Cox 04: What about having a forum instead of redoing the whole code?

Cole: We're not actually changing it that much.

Zach Withers 06: The Code says to confront people who do things you think are wrong. This rewrite says you don't care if there are right or wrong things.

Jeremy: We're leaving confrontation more open.

Andrew Peterson 04: So what are you changing?

Cole: We took out the original community standards section because it's in the preamble.

We also added a sentence to the preamble. Maybe we changed confidentiality. We might be taking things out, but we didn't change the spirit. We took stuff out after social concerns because they needed to be in the Constitution.

Keith Weissglass 05: You just said you took out the community standards sections, and the part about trust, concern, and respect isn't anywhere else.

Cole: We're trying to make the Code a more spirit-driven document.

Jon announced that we had reached the 3-hour time limit. Plenary can be extended 3 times, one hour each time. Moment of silence. There was a vote to extend plenary for one more hour. A motion to extend the question and answer period failed.

c) Pro-Con presentations: twelve minutes to be extended by ten minutes no more than 3x

Jon announced that we were close to quorum and asked people to please stay.

con: Dave Snyder 04: You took out the part that defines community. You took out trust, concern, and respect, and those are the most important parts. I'm also concerned that they didn't say that they took this out.

pro: Jeremy: Trust, concern, and respect are still in our Code.

con: Kristen Cox 04: It's good to bring this to plenary, but you need to make explicit the changes that will be made.

pro: Cole: Don't call us dishonest and say we're doing things half-heartedly. I care about the Code. Our presentation was a conscious choice. The Code is the same. The major change is making the Code more open to interpretation.

con: Chris Ody 04: We're confusing 2 things: are we still required to confront? Is it about how we choose to value sexual assault, etc.?

pro: Brooks Ambrose 06: No one knows what they change cause no one knows what the Code was originally. Let's have forums.

con: Charles Collett 07: This would make it impossible for Council to bring people to trial for an infraction of the Code.

pro: Cole: How?

con: John Katsos 07: Why should I vote for a code that will promote discussion when I've just spent three hours discussion a code we already have?

pro: Jeremy: Because plenary is a bad forum for discussion. No one wants to be here anymore, and nothing substantive can be said. Maybe you wouldn't have to be here for 3 hours in the future.

con: John Katsos 07: There's no reason to put in place another code. If you want to promote discussion, start up forums.

pro: Josh Mertz 06: pro

con: Brian Richichi 06: Your resolution is really unclear. "This is intellectual masturbation, and it's wasting our time."

pro: Josh Mertz 06: pro

con: Carolyn Hotchkiss 06: People don't understand the social Code already; making it vaguer won't help.

pro: Brooks Ambrose 06: Making it shorter helps frosh and everyone else.

con: Christine Lamanna 04: I don't live up to the confrontation standard, but there should be a document to give standards.

d) Response to Pro-Con debate by resolution presenters: three minutes

Cole: Lots of people didn't like our presentation...sorry, don't let that affect your voting.

This resolution is just trying to make things more open to interpretation. The social code is not more vague. Shortening things is good. Jeremy: Most people haven't even read the 4 page version. Don't not vote for us cause our presentation was half-assed. We're against giving specific situations in writing. It should be up to the student body to reconsider things. Plenary is not the best forum to do that. Cole: We did this because we want a new code, not to create forums. Let's create a shorter, clearer code.

e) Call for Unfriendly Amendments: 75 signatures needed—seven minutes to gather  
There were no unfriendly amendments.

~~f) Presentation of recognized Unfriendly Amendments: five minutes~~

~~i. Question and Answer: five minutes~~

~~ii. Pro-Con debate: eight minutes~~

~~iii. Vote on Unfriendly Amendment, majority vote needed to pass~~

g) Moment of Silence

h) Vote on Final Resolution, 2/3 vote needed

The resolution failed to pass.

#### X) Honor Code Ratification

a) Honor Council Chairs present Honor Code

Miriam Achtenberg: Your presence here testifies to the strength of code. We won't tell you to vote for or against it. Miriam read the preamble. Liz Bacon: The Code is just an ideal that we strive for. As seniors, we should be disillusioned, but we're not. The vaguest ideals in the preamble are the richest part of code and the part that has been around the longest. Consider it as an ideal, flawed as it may be.

b) Question and Answer session: ten minutes to be extended no more than twice  
Jon announced that we were still close to quorum.

There were no questions.

c) Pro-Con presentations: twelve minutes to be extended by ten minutes no more than 3x  
con: Cole Sorrelgreen 04: I just spent a lot of time talking about what I think is wrong with the Code. I don't think the Code should be an ideal that we can't live up to. Someone deal with this and fix it.

pro: Julien Colven 05: I work at a restaurant and hate how people treat each other. People don't deal with their problems. The ideal of confrontation is something we should strive for. My little brother just got in trouble for stealing; they didn't talk about how it affected the community. We need to keep this Code in place.

con: Emily Pellman 06: con

pro: Smiddie 04: I came here because of the Code. I just wanted to say thanks to the community for making the Code work for me.

con: Spencer Walters 05: I love Smiddie.

pro: Emily Pellman 06: I also came here for the Code and like being in the Haverford community because of the Code.

con: Arie Beitman 04: This is my first time speaking at plenary.

pro: Vann Joines 06: I love the Honor Code, and I love you people. Wooooo!

con: Nate 07: The Honor Code is not an ideal; it is the ultimate manifestation of the hypocrisy of the student body. We don't deserve it until we can embody what it says. We don't deserve a code that teaches respect when we don't have any for each other.

pro: Terry Cathopoulis 04: I've never spoken at plenary either. I've been robbed here a lot, but I would never say that we don't deserve an ideal like this. You shouldn't

jeopardize what you believe in. “I just think he spoke crap.”

d) Response to Pro-Con debate by Honor Council chairs: three minutes

Miriam: It’s when people aren’t here that they value the Code so much. It’s why so many of us came here. Liz, reading something an alum wrote: People don’t believe the Code until they see it for themselves, until they see the everyday evidence of the Code. There’s a challenge to not make this just an ideal; we do this by ratifying the Code. Miriam: Voting for it now doesn’t mean we have a code—we still have to ratify it, and Council will be tabling.

Jon: Please stay...we can’t lose quorum during the vote.

e) Moment of Silence

Announcements:

Free coffee and tea at Lunt tonight.

Next week we start planning staff appreciation day.

Sex and the City is showing in Sharpless.

f) Vote on ratification of Honor Code, 2/3 vote needed to pass

Lauren announced that 450 of 456 people (98.6%) voted to ratify the Code.

Miriam asked that everyone turn in the ratification cards.

XI) Final Moment of Silence

**Next meeting:** Sunday, February 29, 2004. 6 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Members Present:**, Lauren Hradecky (Co-Presidents); Nate Favini (VP); Greg Reed and David Poolman (Co-Treasurers); Joshua Mertz (Co-Secretary); Taylor DiGravina and Amy Havassy (Gummere); Aleem Ahmed and Micah Sieber (Barclay); Elizabeth Bonapfel (Lloyd); Constantinos Vassiliou (Comfort); Andrew Katz, Cathy Fong, Sarah Steenbergen, and Katie Crowley (HCA); Jonathan Williams (Lunt)

**Members Absent:** Spencer Walters (Co-Secretary); Jon Debrich (Co-President); Sarah Riehl (Jones); and Arie Beitman (Lloyd).

- I. Moment of Silence
- II. Haverford Idol.
  - a. Rich Carthas '05 successfully defended his crown.
  - b. Thanks to Lisa Perianno, Bruce Partridge, Nick Maxwell, and Amy ham for being esteemed judges.
- III. GO Boards
  - a. There was a discussion about putting up a FAQ section on the GO boards, with somewhat random answers to random questions – generally pertaining to Haverford.
  - b. Andrew Katz volunteered to be a moderator.
- IV. Calendar – There has been concern on campus about the different Calendars that the college is responsible for. FIG would like to propose a new integrated calendar system, and asked for SC's support. Ben Koski and Josh Mertz will present the proposal soon.
- V. Yellow Bike Project
- VI. SC Activities talked about upcoming dances and presented an idea for a new event. Once suggested by Arie Beitman and brought back to the table by Jason Coleman, SC might put on "Iron Chef DC" Josh has contacted John Francone and have begun a dialogue.
  - a. Aleem Ahmed has been working tirelessly, but SC needs to decide if this is something that should be done for campus.
  - b. Aleem will come up with a detailed proposal for implementation of the program.
  - c. Thatcher Mines expressed an interest (through Aleem) in building the bikes with a group of people.
  - d. On-campus / off-campus transportation.
    - i. Elizabeth Bonapfel: Those experienced riders most likely have their own bikes, and they are more willing to go out on the town.
    - ii. Andrew Katz suggested that, if implemented, the bike program should be included in the orientation literature for freshmen, letting them know about it so that they don't need to bring a bike simply for casual riding every now and then.
  - e. Aleem might want to combine the bike programs so that there is a formal / informal system.

---

*These minutes were taken by Joshua Mertz and edited by Spencer Walters. Since he was not at the meeting, Spencer deleted anything in Josh's minutes that didn't make any sense. For that reason and because we always write this anyway, these minutes represent the views of the SC Co-Secretaries and are therefore merely images of reality.*

- f. Dorm representatives will be making an effort to talk to their constituents about the usefulness of the bike program.
- VII. Lauren will make the changes to the Constitution that were instituted at Plenary.
- VIII. The Treasurers' report
  - a. The Treasurers have finished the budgets and they are posted on GO and on the SC webpage. Office hours will be Tuesdays from 8-10 in the SC Office.
- IX. Dorm Representative Thoughts
  - a. There was a concern about parking in the North Lots. Is there enough? It's unclear.
  - b. Aleem asked for ideas concerning the DC. One suggestion was made about having a party with the DC staff.
- X. Moment of Silence

**Next meeting:** Sunday, March 21st, 2004. 6 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Members Present:**, Jon Debrich (Co-President); Nate Favini (VP); Greg Reed and David Poolman (Co-Treasurers); Spencer Walters (Co-Secretary) Amy Havassy (Gummere); Micah Sieber (Barclay); Elizabeth Bonapfel and Arie Beitman (Lloyd); Sarah Riehl (Jones); Andrew Katz, Cathy Fong and Sarah Steenbergen HCA (HCA); Jonathan Williams (Lunt)

**GUEST:** Lukas Leuthold

**Members Absent:** Lauren Hradecky (Co-Presidents); Joshua Mertz (Co-Secretary); Taylor DiGravina (Gummere); Aleem Ahmed (Barclay); Constantinos Vassiliou (Comfort); and Katie Crowley (HCA)

- I. Moment of Silence
- II. Lukas Leuthold – Wants to place a webcam at the campus center to see the athletic center construction. Jon will talk to the Administration about this. (One picture a day every day of construction.)
- III. Newspaper Program - Jon Williams (Lunt) will take over the project and will be the liason.
  - a. We want more NY Times
  - b. The Faculty and Staff should chip in if they take the newspapers
  - c. Or We need bigger signs saying that the newspapers are just for students.
- IV. Bus Program – Still Running
  - a. Sarah will be the Dorm Rep in charge of knowing if the busses are being used.
  - b. BMC must pay SC
- V. Tommy – T Challenge
  - a. \$ Turn lights off
  - b. \$ goes to Haverfest
- VI. Storage Sale Thursday 8-11pm
  - a. Move your things, then put them back after spring break.
- VII. 80's Dance. "It was Good"
- VIII. Big Event –
  - a. Iron Chef DC. There will be a meeting on the Monday after spring break with John Francone.
- IX. Sound System in Lunt – We will be purchasing one.
- X. Treasurers office hours are Tues 8-10
- XI. Spencer Spilled his Tray.
- XII. Bike Program
  - a. There is a posting on the Go boards. Read it. People like the idea.
  - b. There are concerns about theft.

VI. Moment of Silence

*These minutes represent the view of Joshua Mertz and Spencer Walters who did not take them. This is Josh's Translation of Nate's scattered notes during the meeting. Josh was on his way back from winning the Fencing Conference Championships, while Spencer was just late. If there are any concerns about these minutes do not hesitate to email [SCAN@haverford.edu](mailto:SCAN@haverford.edu) Thanks.*



**Next meeting:** Sunday, April 4<sup>th</sup>, 2004. 6 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Members Present:**, Jon Debrich, Lauren Hradecky (Co-Presidents); Nate Favini (VP); Greg Reed and David Poolman (Co-Treasurers); Spencer Walters, Joshua Mertz (Co-Secretaries) Amy Havassy, Taylor DiGravina (Gummere); Aleem Ahmed, Micah Sieber (Barclay); Elizabeth Bonapfel and Arie Beitman (Lloyd); Andrew Katz, Cathy Fong and Sarah Steenbergen HCA (HCA)

**Members Absent:** Jonathan Williams (Lunt), Sarah Riehl (Jones), Constantinos Vassiliou (Comfort); and Katie Crowley (HCA)

- I. Moment of Silence
- II. Staff Appreciation Day – now April 8<sup>th</sup> from 1:30-3PM. We will move it to April 22<sup>nd</sup>. So that there is no conflict with Passover.
- III. Iron Chef is now April 7<sup>th</sup> from 6-8 PM. Auditions are this MTW in the sunken lounge. Each team will be made of 4 students and one member of the DC staff. We will have one special ingredient. 6 judges – some members of the staff / faculty/ and students. All contestants will receive a t-shirt. Grand prize gets 5 30\$ Gift certificates to local restaurants.
- IV. Construction video. – Filming of the GIAC one image every day, and putting it together in a timelapse video. Greg Kannerstein is going to pick-up the tab of the camera and the cost of this project.
- V. Elections are coming up. Nominations begin on the 9<sup>th</sup> of April. Speeches will be the 11<sup>th</sup>. The elections will run from the 13<sup>th</sup>-15<sup>th</sup>. Time for speeches have not yet been set yet.
- VI. Buses – Sarah has been trying to get in touch with the bus company. They are running.
- VII. Opening Day Dance this weekend.
- VIII. DRT – JASAAP o is doing well.
- IX. DC Cost Pie Chart – Posted on GO.
- X. Debut of George Foreman Grill is on Iron Chef DC night!
- XI. Sexual Harassment Forum will be: April 4th 2-5PM in Sharpless
- XII. Moment of Silence

*These minutes represent the view of Joshua Mertz and Spencer Walters. If there are any concerns about these minutes do not hesitate to email [SCAN@haverford.edu](mailto:SCAN@haverford.edu) Thanks.*

## Missing Minutes of March 21<sup>st</sup>.

- Moment of Silence
- Buses- still running. Sarah Steenbergen is the contact person.
- Newspapers- still here. Jon Williams is the contact person.
- Staff Appreciation Day- April 8th.
  - Boardwalk theme. Frisbees or stressballs for the staff. A cappella group and WHRC should be there.
  - everyone encourage people to come
- Lawyers- Lauren has drafted a letter to ask them alums to offer a free one-time consultation.
- Iron Chef- considering options. Josh and John Francone are working on this.
- Committee Reports
  - Activities- dance april 3rd, sundance april 24th
  - Appointments- still looking for a senior or seniors to plan class night.
  - Budgeting- everything is going well. Got money from sale.
- Storage Sale- need to work on new guidelines for next year.
- Bikes- Jon and Aleem met with Tom King. Will attempt to get unclaimed bikes over the summer. Thoughts on locking system. We are now shooting for the fall to get the program started.
- Elections: nominations open Friday April 9th- 11th. Speeches Mon April 12th. Elections Tuesday April 13th- 15th. For exec, HC chairs and jsaap chair.
  - Wednesday April 7th- open meeting for people considering running.
- Dining committee- dinner with Jon was a good experience and will probably happen again. John Francone is considering putting Indian bar into the rotation.
  
- Moment of Silence

*These minutes represent the view of Joshua Mertz and Spencer Walters. If there are any concerns about these minutes do not hesitate to email [SCAN@haverford.edu](mailto:SCAN@haverford.edu) Thanks.*

**Next meeting:** Sunday, April 11<sup>th</sup>, 2004. 6 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of the Dining Center.

**Members Present:**, Lauren Hradecky Jon Debrich (Co-Presidents); Joshua Mertz (Co-Secretary); Taylor DiGravina and Amy Havassy (Gummere); Aleem Ahmed and Micah Sieber (Barclay); Arie Beitman (Lloyd);; Cathy Fong, Sarah Steenbergen, and Katie Crowley (HCA); Jonathan Williams (Lunt) Constantinos Vassiliou (Comfort)

Guest : Lukas Leuthold

**Members Absent:** David Poolman, and Greg Reed (Treasurers) Spencer Walters (Co-Secretary); Sarah Riehl (Jones); and Elizabeth Bonapfel (Lloyd), Andrew Katz (HCA)

- I. Moment of Silence
- II. Iron Chef wed. 7pm
  - a. Bico will be covering the event. Josh listed the competitors (see GO)
- III. Treasure hunt – This is a DC event that will take place on Thursday. It was not an April Fool's joke.
- IV. Staff Appreciation Day will be April 21<sup>st</sup>. Students may attend. Josh and Spencer are working on the cards.
- V. SC Elections – Nominations will begin on Friday and end on Sunday. Speeches will take place on Monday @ 10 PM before room draw. Elections will then begin 11:59 PM Tuesday and the polls close at 11:59 on Thursday.
- VI. Exec – 9 pm on Wed. Open meeting for discussion for anyone who wants to run for positions. This includes SC, JSAAPP and HC. Mass emails will be distributed.
- VII. Lukas Leuthold – Video of construction. Will take place in the SC office room.
- VIII. Go Board discussions – there have been controversial posts. Monday - FIG/SC/HC Meeting. We will allow the community to police itself – according to the meeting.
  - a. Policy
  - b. Appropriateness? Lauren –Wants some kind of education and a community standard to engage in.
  - c. Amy – Comment board is no longer used. Things are much more articulated and thought out. It is something unique to Haverford and it should be used once again.
- IX. Presidents will talk to Joe Tolliver about:
  - a. First Trust and STC services.
  - b. Working ATMs
  - c. HCA painted parking spaces.
- X. Arie went to JSAAPP meeting
  - a. Amy Ham worried about Haverfest cups being used at the dance. Drinking is NEVER allowed in public places according to the Alcohol policy.
- XI. Sundance –
- XII. Appointments – Nothing done.
- XIII. Budgeting met with sound crew –
  - a. No report – treasurers not here.
- XIV. Class Night –We still need classnight heads! Please someone do it.
- XV. Sexual Harassment Forum

---

*These minutes were taken by Joshua Mertz, These minutes represent the views of the SC Co-Secretaries and are therefore merely images of reality.*

- a. Micah –About 25 people were there. Discussed the question - Where do we draw the line between harassment and assault?
- XVI. Aleem – Bikes.
  - a. Need to write letter for the community and meet with Tom. Yellow bikes will be here next semester.
- XVII. Talked to John Francone about outdoor dining furniture. John doesn't have a lot of DC funding for more tables outside. Can we have new picnic tables and who should we contact?
- XVIII. Sarah – Called the bus company for last week. The driver said about 30-40 kids took the bus total, and 15 people the week before. BMC pays for half of the buses.
- XIX. Moment of Silence

**Note:** Quorum (two thirds of elected council) was not technically achieved (unless you count Micah 12 times), but the attendees decided to go ahead with this meeting anyway.

**Next and final meeting:** Sunday, April 25, 2004. 6 p.m., Bryn Mawr Room of Dining Center.

**Members Present:** Spencer Walters (Co-Secretary); Taylor DiGravina and Amy Havassy (Gummere); Aleem Ahmed and Micah Sieber (Barclay); Arie Beitman (Lloyd); Cathy Fong and Sarah Steenbergen (HCA).

**Special Guest:** Vice-President Elect Brandon Auerbach.

**Members Absent:** Jon Debrich, Lauren Hradecky (Co-Presidents); Nate Favini (VP); Greg Reed and David Poolman (Co-Treasurers); Joshua Mertz (Co-Secretary); Elizabeth Bonapfel (Lloyd); Jonathan Williams (Lunt); Sarah Riehl (Jones); Constantinos Vassiliou (Comfort); Katie Crowley and Andrew Katz (HCA).

I. Moment of Silence

II. Special Guest: Director of Security Tom King

A. Tom wanted to check in with SC this semester and focused on three main areas of continuous concern

- 1) *Alcohol* – already this year, there have been more than twice as many alcohol related hospitalizations than all of last year or the previous year. Those hospitalized have predominantly been first year students. While Tom hopes that this is accounted for simply in the community's willingness to call police rather than more people actually drinking irresponsibly, this is an issue of concern for the administration and the student body.
- 2) *Haverfest* – Tom reminded us of the many problems that occurred last year at Haverfest. There will be an increased dean presence this year, and it is expected that students will be more aware and sensitive to the alcohol policy. Students are reminded that they should call security if there is a problem before it becomes a problem. There is police presence at Haverfest to aid Safety and Security; students should be aware of this and should treat any officers they might meet with respect.
- 3) *General Respect* – Tom suggested that some of his officers, many of whom have been at Haverford for many years, are suggesting that there has been a noticeable change in student attitude towards Safety and Security. While Tom acknowledged that this is a two way street and he is working towards making Safety and Security more student friendly, there is also a responsibility on the students to welcome officers as members of the Haverford community. While dialogue is important between officers and students, Safety and Security officers are generally under specific instructions that are intended to keep the community safe. It is for this reason that they sometimes ask students to get rid of an alcoholic drink, turn music down, or, if they have to, stop a party. Disregarding an officer's suggestion or being difficult is not only inappropriate but also is disrespectful to the entire Haverford community. Tom is hoping that reaching out

to students and letting them know more about the officers and their backgrounds will help strengthen the bond within this community between students and officers.

## II. Activities Report

- A. *Staff Appreciation Day* is Wednesday, April 21, 2004. Food will be served in Founders Great Hall from 1:30 to 3 p.m. The theme is “Boardwalk” so come enjoy funnel cakes and other goodies with staff members you know or don’t know! The S-Chords will perform, and WHRC will represent. Thanks to both student groups for their help.
- B. Sundance is Saturday, April 24, 2004 from 11 p.m. to 3 a.m. in the Dining Center. There will be photographs (more than snowball, and hopefully faster) and karaoke, dancing, and great food. Trust me, the food will be great!
- C. Class night. There may or may not be a class night. No one seems to have an interest in preserving this Haverford tradition. We’re sure the administration will be sorry to see a night of intoxication, nudity, and lewd jokes disappear.

## III. Other Business

- A. President-Elect Aleem Ahmed reported that Jon and Lauren have created some success in their project to find an alumni attorney to agree to offer free consultations to Haverford students about legal matters they might have. They sent out letters to local attorneys and have gotten some responses. More to follow.
- B. Let’s all go see Spike Lee. Okay.

## IV. Moment of Silence