Students’ Council Minutes  
September 19, 1999  
5:00 PM Bryn Mawr Room, D.C.

Disclaimer: These minutes express the opinions of the Students’ Council Co-Secretaries Joe Harris and Jun Mitsumoto. They do not necessarily reflect the views of Haverford College.

Present: Graham Forbes, Erik Solivan, Dan Howell, Nick Nassim, Greg Rak, Laura Wilcox, Tamia Harris, Bob Jeffrey, Drew Kovacs, Eric McCafferty, Qaid Ali Hassan, Alex Castro, Andrew Prazar, Jesse Ehrenfeld, Judson Redmond, Travis Combs, Matt Reilly, Joe Harris.

Absent: Kelly Carroll, J.P. Carter, Dolge Donovan-Smith, Jun Mitsumoto, Pedro Urquilla.

I. Moment of silence

II. Committee reports

A) Appointments: Andrew announced the following appointments:
   1) Faculty Admissions Committee: Ari Worthman ’02
   2) Plant and Property Committee: Chris Schlottman ’02
   3) Housing Committee: Ilya Erikshev ’02
   4) Investments and Social Responsibility Committee: No one has applied

B) Activities: Joe announced that Activities Committee will meet September 20 in the Campus Center. He also announced the September 25 S.C. dance- “Goodbye to the ’90’s”.

C) Budgeting: Alex and Q announced that they will be working on Haverford budgeting this week. Bi-Co budgeting will begin September 27.

D) Secondary committees
   1) Food Committee: Travis said that he is trying to schedule a meeting with Robin Doan and John Francone.
   2) JSAAPP: Erik and Drew announced that they have not yet met with JSAAPP but are planning to do so in the near future.
   3) Security Liaison: Eric said that he is planning to meet with Glenn “The Chief” very soon.
   4) Ride Board Maintainer: Greg said that he is paying close attention to the ride board in the Campus Center.
   5) Poster Ripper: Nick has been vigilantly eyeing Haverford grounds for any “misplaced” postings. While he has yet to perform his first ripping, Judson asked that Nick and other members of S.C keep a close eye out for Eighth Dimension postings that are on the pavement.

III. Individual projects

A) Assassin: Drew generously offered to research the possibility of a campus-wide game of Assassin. Jesse and Judson were very pleased.

B) coke@haverford.edu: Bob said that to his knowledge, all Coke machines on campus are working.

C) Blue Bus to Philly: Travis, a very energetic dorm rep this evening, volunteered to talk with the administration about the possibility of adding a bi-weekly trip to Philly to the Blue Bus tour. [The Blue Bus drivers will be thrilled to hear about this one].
D) Campus phone system: Laura, not realizing her grave mistake, asked a question about the infamous Haverford phone network that supposedly is “in the works”. Jesse explained that the administration is planning to create such a system in the “near future”. Laura then volunteered to remind the administration of their decision.

E) Student golf carts to and from HCA: Graham asked about the possibility of providing golf carts to students who commute from HCA. Jesse said that while unlikely, Graham should feel free to discuss the idea with members of the administration.

F) Bi-Co columnist: Travis agreed to write an S.C. column for the Bi-Co a few times each semester to keep the Haverford community abreast of S.C. activities.

G) S.C. retreat: Nick volunteered to investigate the possibility of an S.C. retreat later this fall. His smile soon faded when Jesse explained that retreat is code for “four hour meeting”.

H) Weight room: Matt will help manage the weight room this semester.

IV. Plenary

A) General info: Jesse and Judson reminded S.C. that Plenary is October 3 at 7:00PM in the field house. The Alcohol Policy will be re-ratified and 40% of the student body is required for quorum. Jesse and Judson then asked that all S.C. members arrive by 6:45. Graham suggested that everyone going to Plenary bring a friend to ensure a quick and painless quorum and Plenary.

V. A few words from Jesse and Judson

A) Previous week's survey: Jesse and Judson thanked the reps for filling out the Physical Plant surveys.

B) Meeting with Tom Tritton: Jesse and Judson announced that they will meet with President Tritton later this week and asked that reps with agenda items e-mail them ASAP.

C) JSAAPP and deans meeting: Jesse and Judson reminded S.C. that also later this week they will meet with JSAAPP and several deans to discuss alcohol problems on campus. Jesse and Judson again asked that any reps with agenda ideas please e-mail them ASAP.

D) Diversity report: Erik announced that a student diversity report will be presented to the Board of Managers and Student Affairs Committee on October 1. The report addresses diversity issues at Haverford as they relate to student life, admissions, and faculty hiring and tenure. Due to length, the report will not be released to the community except on request. If you would like a copy, please e-mail Erik esolivan@haverford.edu.

VI. This week

A) Friday night, September 24, 1999
   1) Opening reception for Gallery exhibit- 5:00PM-7:00PM
   2) Jazz Performance in Marshall-8:00PM

B) Saturday night, September 25, 1999
   1) “Goodbye to the ‘90’s” dance in Founders Great Hall- 10:00PM –2:00AM

VII. Dorm Rep of the Week Award: Tamia for her “round the clock preoccupation with S.C.”

VIII. Moment of silence
Executive Council: Jesse Ehrenfeld (Co-President), Judson Redmond (Co-President), Maura Purcell (Honor Council Chair), Andrew Prazar (Vice President), Alex Castro (Co-Treasurer), Quaid Hassan (Co-Treasurer), Jun Mitsumoto (Co-Secretary), Joe Harris (Co-Secretary)

Disclaimer: These minutes express the warped perceptions of S.C Secretaries Joe Harris and Jun Mitsumoto. They do not necessarily reflect the views of Haverford College. Due to the length of plenary minutes, not all comments made at plenary may be included.

I. Voice vote to extend quorum by 15 minutes- PASS

II. Jesse and Judson welcome students, staff, and faculty.

III. Jesse’s announcements:
A) Budgets are ready.
B) Please tell Robin Doan your dorm telephone numbers, if you have not already, so she can complete the student directory.
C) Buy from the Crew bake sale.
D) Pick up a gift bag at the front door.

IV. National Anthem

V. Jesse and Judson introduce the Haverford Mascot, the Black Squirrel.

VI. Moment of Silence

VII. Jesse and Judson review the rules of order.

VIII. Rules of order ratified

IX. Jesse reviews the plenary process.

X. Election revision resolution discussion
A) Maura, Jesse, and Judson step aside and sit in the front row of the resolution presenter seating.
   Judson puts his arm around Jesse and sighs.
B) Andrew explains the reasons for a discussion of the election revision resolution at this plenary.
C) Pro/con debate
   Con- Eric McCafferty says that a discussion of this resolution at plenary directly violates the student constitution.
   Con- Ellie Brown agrees with Eric and adds that a discussion of this resolution is abuse of power by Executive Council.
   Con- Mike Ranen states that if the community had been aware of the constitutional conflict regarding a discussion of this resolution, the proposal would never have received the requisite 75 signatures.
   Pro- Maura insists that a discussion of this resolution is not about abuse of power... it’s about helping Haverford students.
XI. Moment of silence

XII. Voting initiated on the discussion of election revision resolution

XIII. Jesse gives away a bike from the New York Times.

XIV. Vote results on the discussion of the election revision resolution- FAIL

XV. Speakers Committee resolution
A) Jesse and Judson introduce the resolution
B) Q & A
   Q- What if the resolution fails? Has the money for this semester already been distributed?
   A- Yes. We had to distribute the money before plenary so that there could be speakers this semester. S.C has been trying to fill Speakers Committee positions for two semesters without success.
   Q- If you want a speaker, do you have to budget for it at the beginning of the semester?
   A- Yes. This has always been the procedure.
C) Pro/con debate- NONE
D) Unfriendly amendments- NONE

XVI. Moment of Silence

XVII. Vote on Speakers Committee resolution- PASS

XVIII. Student Choice policy
A) Resolution presented by Kate Conway, Nathaniel Rounds, and Peter Ingebretson.
B) Q & A
   Q- What is an “animal” for the purposes of this resolution, i.e. insects?
   A- The panel will determine the definition of “animal”.
   Q- What if there are not enough people interested in this issue to serve on the panel?
   A- There are at least 10 people ready and willing right now.
   Q- Isn’t there already an animal rights student/ faculty panel?
   A- Yes. This would be a new and separate committee.
   Q- Can the panel make binding decisions?
   A- The panel does not make binding decisions. All decisions are subject to faculty approval.
   Q- What are the real alternatives to dissection?
   A- There are several legitimate alternatives that are currently being considered.
C) Pro/con debate
   Pro- This resolution is about choice, respect for various beliefs and faiths, and communication.
   Con- You’re forcing the faculty to provide an alternative.
   Pro- Choice is what this College is “all about”.
   Con- There may not be a legitimate way to avoid dissection. Giving people choice violates Haverford’s academic standards.
   Pro- This resolution is all about discussion, not force-feeding.
   Con- It insults the intelligence of the professors to even consider this resolution.
   Con- This resolution is mandatory and that is negative.
D) Response to pro/con debate- Presenters
   1) This panel would only affect lower level classes and it would only affect those who do not want to dissect. It’s not an imposition on anyone’s research or the faculty. This resolution is all about respect for the beliefs of others. We want to “facilitate dialogue”.
E) Break for unfriendly amendments
F) Unfriendly amendment- Jeremy Polber: Panel decisions are not binding.
   1) Q & A for unfriendly amendment
      Q- The original amendment does not force decisions on the administration so how did the last fifteen minutes change anything?
A - The original *does* force decisions on faculty...this new addition precludes that possibility.

2) Pro/con debate on unfriendly amendment
3) Voice vote on unfriendly amendment- INCONCLUSIVE
4) Paper vote for unfriendly amendment- FAIL

G) Moment of silence
H) Resolution Vote- PASS

**XIX. Alcohol policy**
A) Brady and Melissa, the JSAAPP Co-Chairs, present the policy.
B) Q & A
   Q- Is the policy any different this year than in past years?
   A- No. However, JSAAPP is separate from the Social Fund.
   Q- What is JSAAPP's role?
   A- Education.
C) Pro/con debate
   Pro- The policy does not protect illegal acts.
   Pro- Pass this or you'll be subject to the whims of the administration.
   Con- We don't deserve a loophole policy to abuse.
   Con- This is just a rubber stamp and it allows us to break the Honor Code.

**XX. Voice vote to extend Plenary- PASS**

(Alcohol) D) Unfriendly amendments- NONE

**XXI. Alcohol policy vote- PASS**

**XXII. Plenary adjourned**
Student Council Minutes  
November 14, 1999  
5:00 PM Bryn Mawr Room, D.C.

Disclaimer: These minutes express the warped perceptions of Students Council Co-Secretaries Joe Harris and Jun Mitsumoto. They do not necessarily reflect the views of Haverford College.

Present: Qaid Hassan, Greg Rak, Jun Mitsumoto, Judson Redmond, Jesse Ehrenfeld, Laura Wilcox, Eric McCafftery, Tamia Harris, Graham Forbes, Nick Nassim, Dan Howell, Bob Jeffrey, Drew Kovacs, Joe Harris, Andrew Prazar  
Absent: Dolge Donovan-Smith, Pedro Urquilla, J.P. Carter, Alex Castro, Kelly Carroll, Travis Combs, Matt Reilly, Erik Solivan

I. Moment of silence

II. Committee reports
A) Appointments- Andrew reported that there was no news this week but that community members should consult the Appointments Committee mailing for information on upcoming appointments.

B) Activities- Jun announced that Snowball will be on December 7.

C) Budgeting- Q said there was nothing new with Budgeting this week. However, he did report that Budgeting has adopted a computer program that prints checks.

III. Swat van
Jesse announced that many students have suggested extra Swat van runs on weekends at both 2:00AM and 2:30 AM. Jesse mentioned the idea to Robin Doan who said that while it is not possible to implement such a van schedule change this year, next year the extra times would be added. In the meantime, she is willing to add the extra runs on pre-specified evenings when big social events are happening at either campus.

IV. Screw review
Most people had fun at Screw and felt that it was a success.

V. Walk of Shame review
Jesse and Judson praised a recent Joe Tolliver lecture called “Walk of Shame”. Dozens of Haverford students showed up to watch a documentary video called “My First Time” and participate in a lively discussion.

VI. Office space chat
Jesse announced that in the interest of thoughtful, fair-minded decision making, a committee will be formed, sometime next semester, to investigate, discuss, and of course “facilitate dialogue” regarding student group office space at Haverford.
VII. SC suggestion drop-box
Judson is planning to set up an SC suggestion box somewhere in the D.C. to encourage student feedback on SC performance and issues.

VIII. This week
A) Friday November 19
   1) Oxford Blues in the Sunken Lounge
B) Saturday November 20
   1) Pre-Thanksgiving all night study session
C) Sunday November 21
   1) Lighted Fools Alumni Show in Stokes at 7PM

IX. Dorm Reps of the Week- Nick Nassim and Matt Reilly for their hard work on Screw.

X. Moment of silence
Students' Council Minutes
February 13, 2000
5:00 p.m. Bryn Mawr Room, D.C.

Disclaimer: These minutes express the opinions of the Students' Council Co-Secretaries Tamia Harris and Laura Wilcox. They do not necessarily reflect the views of Haverford College.

Present: Wheaton Little, John Silson, Travis Combs, Laura Wilcox, Tamia Harris, Joe Vasquez, Shane Danaher, Nick D'Avella, Graham Forbes, Vincent Indelicato, Fritz Krembs, Jess Latterman, Geoff Melada, Vinay Nariani, David Peck, Matt Reilly, Chris Schlottman, Eileen Sukumaran, Natalie Wright
Absent:

I. Moment of Silence

II. Introductions- Executive Council introduced themselves to the new members of Students' Council. The Dorm Reps stated their names, the dorms they represent, and something interesting about themselves.

III. The Duty of the Dorm Rep- Wheaton and John explained to the Dorm Reps the importance of their role on Students’ Council as the liaisons between the student body and Executive Council. The Dorm Reps were also congratulated for getting elected and being involved in the community.

IV. Committee Reports

Budgeting- Joe and Shane enthusiastically described their plans for Budgeting Committee this semester as well as the heavy time commitment in the upcoming week during Haverford Budgeting. The following people were selected for Budgeting Committee- Nick D'Avella, Fritz Krembs, David Peck

Appointments- Travis went over the time commitment for Appointments Committee and discussed his vision for how he wants the appointments process to work this year. The following people were selected for Appointments Committee- Chris Schlottman, Eileen Sukumaran, Natalie Wright

Activities- Laura and Tamia presented their ideas for SC dances this semester and the importance of dorm reps in the realization of their entertainment goals. One of their main goals for this semester is to coordinate with club heads so that multiple events aren’t planned on the same weekend. This year’s Activities Committee members are Graham Forbes, Vinay Nariani, Jess Latterman, Vinny Indelicato, Matt Reilly, and Geoff Melada

*Everyone agreed that the hard hat party, despite its late start, was a success and that the physical plant will make a good party space, as long as it’s still standing.
V. Secondary Committees - The following people were selected to serve on secondary committees

Security Coordinator- Eileen
Coca-Cola Liaison- Vinny, who is the new recipient of the prestigious coke@haverford.edu e-mail address.
Plenary Committee- Vinay and Matt,
JSAAP representative- Chris and Graham
Space Committee- Natalie
Food Committee- Geoff, Jess, Natalie, Travis
Ride Board Manager- David

VI. Plenary-
Our discussion then shifted to plenary. John and Wheaton stressed the necessity of informing the student body on the rules of order for Plenary and changing the “voice vote” which is often controversial. Jess will be our resident “Black Squirrel” for Plenary. We then reviewed the two resolutions for this year's Plenary, which are

1. The Election Cycle Resolution
2. The Re-ratification of the Honor Code

There is a Plenary discussion this week and....

PLENARY
On the 20th of February
7:30pm in the Field House

VII. Moment of Silence
Students' Council
Plenary Minutes
February 20, 2000 - Field house, 7:30 pm

Executive Council: Wheaton Little (Co-President), John Silson (Co-President), Jenn Louie (HC Co-Chair), Lucy Lyon (HC Co-Chair), Travis Combs (Vice-President), Shane Danaher (Co-Treasurer), Joe Vazquez (Co-Treasurer), Tamia Harris (Co-Secretary), Laura Wilcox (Co-Secretary)

Disclaimer: These minutes express the opinions of S.C. Co-Secretaries Laura Wilcox and Tamia Harris. They do not necessarily reflect the views of Haverford College.

I. Red paper vote to extend quorum by 15 minutes – PASS

II. Moment of Silence

III. Wheaton and John welcome everyone to Spring Plenary 2000 and explain red paper voting.

IV. Rules of Order and Agenda
   A) Five minutes to look over rules of order and agenda
   B) Questions
      - Andy Ray asked if pro-con speakers are allowed to speak more than once
      - Maura Purcell asked why the seventeen minute break is needed
   C) Amendments
      - Jenn Louie proposed to have the seventeen minute break be only as long as it takes to count ballots – PASS
      - Maura Purcell, Ellie Brown, and Andy Ray proposed that pro-con speakers be allowed to speak more than once – PASS
      - Jenn Louie proposed a five minute question and answer session about the Honor Code – PASS

V. Resolution to the Students’ Association Constitution
   A) Jesse, Judson, Maura, Ellie, and Scott presented their resolution to alter the Executive Council election process from a calendar year to an academic year
   B) Question and Answer Session
      Q – Will Exec. Council be prepared for fall plenary and the incoming freshmen?
      A – They’ll have the entire summer to plan for the freshmen and plenary can be as late as the fifth week of the semester.
      Q – How can juniors who are abroad second semester run for Executive Council?
      A – They can have a running partner who isn’t abroad or use computer technology to inform the community of their candidacy.
      Q – When will the new officers assume their positions?
      A – The last day of finals second semester.
      Q – Would budgeting stay the same for both semesters?
      A – Yes.
      Q – E.C. “B” wouldn’t want to run for such a short period of time.
      A – Some people want to have a smaller term.
   C) Pro-Con Presentations
      Con – Ari Worthman spoke about juniors abroad not being able to run effectively, second semester seniors having a say in a community they are leaving, and the fact that the freshmen class won’t have a voice.
      Pro – Scott Goldstein thought it made a lot of sense because budgeting would be easier.
      Pro – Travis Combs stated how it would lead to more continuity and make it easier for the newly elected officers.
   D) Response by Presenters
      - Judson said that the seniors would be setting a good example even though they’re leaving, encouraging the Quaker ideal of “eldering”.
   F) Call for Unfriendly Amendments - NONE
   G) Moment of Silence
   H) Vote on Final Resolution – PASS (356 yays, 98 nays, 25 abstentions)

VI. Ratification of the Honor Code
A) Honor Council Co-Chairs Lucy Lyon and Jenn Louie presented the Code to the community.

B) Question and Answer Period

Q – The election cycle in the Honor Code (Section VII, D, #2) now contradicts the Constitution because of the newly passed election resolution. What is going to be done about this?

A – This should be an issue at next Spring Plenary.

C) Pro-Con Debate

Con – Anna Krieger encouraged the community to thoroughly read through the Code.

Con – Rich Biddolph said that the Code discourages change within the community and encourages the status quo.

Pro – Marisa Falk said that the Code is an ideal for the community and that Haverford will not be what it is if the Code is not ratified.

Con – Margaret McKenna asked how one can vote for a Code he/she doesn’t believe in and live up to.

Pro – Jeremy Pober said that he has read through the Honor Code and it has principles that the community should strive to live up to.

Con – Erik Solivan asked why the faculty isn’t under the Code if they are also a part of the community.

Pro – Chris Blount said that the Code improves the quality of our lives and makes Haverford a better place.

Con – Andy Ray said that the Code is helpless and that “the protective glass casing gathers dust” each year and we shouldn’t add another layer.

Pro – Aaron Clauset responded to Erik’s comment. The faculty is bound by a more strict code of conduct and there are legal ramifications.

Con – Maura Purcell challenged the community to work harder to live up to the Code’s standards.

Pro – Marisa Falk wondered how abolishing the Code would increase confrontations.

End of the first thirteen minute discussion period

Red paper vote to extend time period – PASS

Pro – Micah Drayton asked what the Code could be replaced with.

Con – Noel Pachecho said the alcohol policy violates the Code.

Pro – Aaron Clauset responded, saying that the Code is an ideal we attempt to live up to in our daily actions.

Con – Rich Biddolph says the structure of the Code doesn’t work to accomplish those ideals.

Pro – Lisa Berenson stated that all these complaints are reasons why we should go home and read the Code.

Con – Noel Pachecho said that the Code is a contract that people regularly break.

Pro – Micah Drayton said that we should ratify now and change later.

Con – Andy Ray noticed that the possibility of voting down the Code is not even considered in the document.

Pro – Jeremy Pober said that we have to amend it, not reject it.

Con – Maura Purcell pointed out that the Code mentions what happens if the Code fails – a Special Plenary will be called to modify the Code.

Pro – David Peck said that if we abolish the Code, then where would we be?

Con – Andy Ray clarified that we are not trying to abolish it, but that it is in need of reconstructive surgery.

Pro – Molly Glenn didn’t think that the community would fall apart without the Code. Voting no won’t help anything.

End of second thirteen minute discussion period

Red paper vote to extend time period – INCONCLUSIVE

-Graham Forbes moved to have a paper ballot vote, Bill Dawe seconded the motion

MOTION FAILED

D) Moment of Silence

E) Vote on Ratification of the Honor Code – PASS (317 yays, 118 nays, 334 abstentions)*

VII. Final Moment of Silence

* 312 votes were needed to ratify the honor code.
Students’ Council Minutes  
February 27, 2000  
5:00 p.m. Bryn Mawr Room, D.C.

Disclaimer: These minutes express the opinions of Students’ Council Co-Secretaries Tamia Harris and Laura Wilcox. They do not necessarily reflect the views of Haverford College.

Present: Wheaton Little, John Silson, Travis Combs, Laura Wilcox, Tamia Harris, Joe Vazquez, Shane Danaher, Nick D’Avella, Graham Forbes, Vincent Indelicato, Fritz Krembs, Jess Latterman, Geoff Melada, Vinay Nariani, Chris Schlottman, Eileen Sukurman, Natalie Wright, Dave Mintzer, Tyson Obemdorfer

Absent: David Peck, Matt Reilly

“You ignorant, ethnocentric bastard”  
- Graham to Travis

I. Moment of Silence

II. Committee Reports

Appointments- On Thursday March 1st Appointments Committee will be interviewing for the positions of sophomore and junior JSAAP representatives. The committee is also appointing Commencement Committee heads for commencement 2001. After break there will be appointments for the new Student Space Committee.

Activities- Activities Committee will be having its first meeting this week to discuss the semester’s dances.

Budgeting- After a long, painful process, it’s just about done.

III. Storage Sale

“When there is cheap stuff, people are like vultures”  
- Nick D’Avella

Our discussion focused on this week’s storage sale. Since there are so many storage spaces on campus it is imperative that all of Students’ Council be involved. Travis emphasized the importance of publicity, not only for the sale, but also to inform students that their items will be sold if they are not removed from storage areas. The sale will be on Thursday, March 2 from 8pm-11pm. Graham and Eileen volunteered to be in charge of publicity. Everyone was in agreement that all storage should be opened as soon as possible so that students can remove their items.

IV. Secondary Committees

Coca-Cola Liaison

The Coke@haverford.edu address is up and running, so if you have any problems with soda on campus, don’t hesitate to e-mail Vinny!

Web Page Updater- Graham, our web page updater, is going to begin updating the SC web page so students can be kept informed of current projects.

Since we were on the topic of the immense possibilities that the Internet offers, Travis brought up an idea he had of changing the website, www.students.haverford.edu, from its present list of student websites to a location for students to find out about campus events. Travis’s vision is of a “buzz page” that would keep students informed and “foment” greater student participation in the community. He envisioned the involvement of ACC and the incorporation of Dave Mintzer’s super calendar.

After a lengthy discussion it was concluded that this is a very large, time-consuming project that everyone on Council will think about and discuss again at future meetings.
V. Activities Bulletin Board in the Dinning Center

John and Wheaton shared their ideas about a Weekly Activities Bulletin Board in the DC. The idea is that club heads would post their activities on the board and it would be an organized way of keeping the campus informed about current campus (and off campus) events. Laura and Tamia volunteered to make this project part of their activities agenda.

VI. Honor Code

John and Wheaton opened the floor for discussion of the Honor Code and Special Plenary. Numerous issues were addressed, such as whether or not changing the actual words of the Code would help anything, the fact that a Special Plenary still won’t encourage enough dialogue, and the feelings of the administration about the events of the past week. The question, “Who is the Honor Code really for?” was posed, which may be something the Community should ponder. SC doesn’t want to see the momentum that has arisen die down and wants to see people continually discussing the Honor Code.

VII. This Week at Haverford-

*Monday 8:30 pm in the Swat Room, Food Committee meeting, open to the community.
*Monday February 28th 10:15pm Founders Common Room, Comment Board Discussion sponsored by Honor Council
*Thursday March 1st Storage Sale 8-11 pm.... Time to buy others’ unclaimed property.
*Have a great spring break!

VIII. Dorm Rep of the Week Award

~Our first award went to Chris Schlottman for his exceptional megaphone use before Plenary which helped us reach quorum
~The second award went to Jess Latterman for enduring Plenary inside the squirrel suit.

Questions? Comments? E-mail scan@haverford.edu
Students' Council Minutes  
Sunday March 19, 2000  
5:00 p.m. Swarthmore Room, D.C.

Disclaimer: These minutes express the views of SC Co-Secretaries Tamia Harris and Laura Wilcox. They do not necessarily reflect the views of Haverford College.

Present: John Silson, Wheaton Little, Travis Combs, Shane Danaher, Laura Wilcox, Nick D'Avella, Graham Forbes, Vincent Indelicato, Fritz Krems, Jess Latterman, Geoff Melada, Vinay Nariani, Tyson Oberndorfer, David Peck, Chris Schlottman, Natalie Wright  
Absent: Joe Vazquez, Tamia Harris, David Mintzer, Matt Reilly, Eileen Sukumaran

I. Moment of Silence

II. Dorm Rep Thoughts

The dorm reps voiced their concerns and those of their constituents. Natalie talked about getting the key to open up storage, Nick asked about the lack of satellite TV in Barclay Lounge, and Graham asked if there could be chocolate milk in the D.C. (the Food Committee reps have brought this up with Food Committee). Natalie commented about the new showerheads in Jones, and Chris brought up the idea of having study breaks during the week, or perhaps having a café in the library. Dave P. asked about getting a new antenna for the TV in Gunnmere basement, and Geoff reported that the soda machine in Lloyd is not working. If you ever have an opinion or concern, tell your dorm rep and they will bring it to SC.

III. Committee Reports

Food Committee — Several things the reps have brought up are having plain baked chicken at more meals, having more breakfast dinners, and converting the sunken lounge to an eating area. The D.C. is looking for alternatives to the Edy’s machine because, being “new technology”, it breaks a lot. The D.C. hasn’t responded to demands for more of the ever so popular chicken fingers because they are very expensive.  
Space Committee — The results of the space survey were passed around.

JSAAPP — The drug and alcohol survey was processed, and the results were somewhat alarming and unexpected.

*New at SC meetings – reports from committee members who were appointed by Appointments Committee

Speakers Committee — Wheaton Little reported that they are bringing SPIKE LEE to Haverford on April 26th at 7:30 p.m.

Activities Committee — Laura brought up Friday Night Live (FNL) and asked for opinions about the event. It was agreed that the philosophy behind FNL is great and that the event should be continued but needs improvement. Some ideas for such improvement were to have activities that would encourage people to stay longer, such as a faculty debate, ping pong/pool tournaments, and karaoke in Skeeter’s. Also, the actual activities that will be at FNL need to be publicized. There will most likely be an FNL at the end of April.

Appointments Committee — Travis reported the recent appointments made: Jared Tankel as sophomore JSAAPP rep, and Karen Munoz as junior JSAAPP rep.

IV. Building an Honor Code

Wheaton and John discussed the plan for how 75% of the student body will (hopefully) construct an Honor Code at Special Plenary. The idea is to pass/fail each individual resolution, put the passed resolutions into the existing Code, and then vote on the new amended Code at the
end. This way the Community has choices and doesn't have to vote for specific Honor Codes. We are encouraging the faculty to become involved in Special Plenary and debated whether or not faculty should be able to speak at Special Plenary. We did not reach a conclusion.

V. Vending Machines
   We have changed suppliers and may put one in the Campus Center. Tyson brought up the idea of having a quarter machine in the D.C. basement (for laundry), although there is concern that this would not be a secure place for it.

VI. Dorm Rep of the Week
    The award went to Natalie for her “dedication, hard work, and thoughtful contributions.”

VII. Moment of Silence

Questions? Comments? Email scan@haverford.edu
Students' Council Minutes
Sunday March 26, 2000
5 p.m. Bryn Mawr Room, D.C.

Disclaimer: These minutes reflect the views of SC Co-Secretaries Tamia Harris and Laura Wilcox. They do not necessarily reflect the views of Haverford College.

Present: Wheaton Little, John Silson, Travis Combs, Laura Wilcox, Shane Danaher, Joe Vazquez, Nick D'Avella, Vincent Indelicato, Jess Latterman, Geoff Melada, Tyson Oberndorfer, Matt Reilly, Chris Schlottman, Natalie Wright

Absent: Tamia Harris, Graham Forbes, Fritz Krembs, David Mintzer, Vinay Nariani, David Peck, Eileen Sukumaran

Guests: Ellie Brown, Ilya Enkishev, Melanie Mason, Jeremy Pober, Katie Walsh

I. Moment of Silence

II. Presentation of Revised Constitution Plenary Resolution

Ellie Brown presented a summary of the revised constitution that will be presented at Special Plenary. In short, this new constitution reformats the existing constitution to increase readability, eliminates contradictory guidelines, incorporates written mission statements, and limits the veto power of Haverford’s president. She encouraged SC to talk to people about the proposed constitution and will post educational material around campus so that people can gain a better understanding of it.

III. Housing Committee Report

Housing Committee members Ilya Enkishev, Melanie Mason, and Jeremy Pober brought up the idea of having key cards (proximity cards, not swipe cards) to get into the front door of every dorm. Each student would have access to every dorm. The inside doors would be unlocked, and if the outside doors were ever propped, an alarm would sound. This would make the dorms more secure while at the same time maintaining the feeling of trust among students. The purpose would be to keep members from outside the Haverford community from entering the dorms. If you have any comments about this idea, contact your dorm rep or email a member of Housing Committee.

“They’re everywhere”
-Geoff Melada, in reference to criminals on Haverford’s campus

IV. Rape Awareness Week

Katie Walsh informed SC of the upcoming events for Rape Awareness Week. There will be an exhibit in the Sunken Lounge, a speaker on Tuesday, a self-defense workshop on Wednesday, a discussion on Thursday, and a vigil on Sunday (for complete details see SCAN newsletter). The week will address issues such as what is rape culture and how do we participate in and help create it at Haverford.

V. Dorm Rep Thoughts

Natalie is currently trying to fix the washer/dryer problems in Jones, Jess brought up several things that might make getting quorum for Special Plenary difficult, and Tyson asked about dorm rep email lists.
VI. Committee Reports

Budgeting — Joe and Shane are planning on revamping their position and will hold a meeting for the Community to make suggestions about how to improve the budgeting process (online check requests are one possibility).

Activities — Laura mentioned that the second issue of SCAN is coming out this week, there will be a Campus Center dance on Friday, April 7th, and there is a posting on the Comment Board regarding Sun Dance dates.

Food Committee — Stolen dishes accounted for approximately $3,500 in unnecessary DC expenditures last semester. So far this semester, $2,000 worth of dishes has been stolen. The recent average has been $5-6,000/year; the rate of stolen dishes is therefore increasing steadily. Stolen dishes make our meal plan more expensive, so if you see dishes around campus, please bring them back to the DC.

Random facts: In the 1950s, the DC served whale steak. Also, in the past 12 years, the number of meal options has doubled.

Questions? Concerns? Email John Francone at jfrancon@haverford.edu.

JSAAPP — Some results from the recent drug/alcohol survey:
84% of students consumed alcohol in the past year, 75% in the past 30 days (73% underage). 42% reported binge drinking in the past 2 weeks (this is the national average).
34% are current marijuana users, 7% use other illicit drugs. 4.5% used ecstasy or MDMA in the past 30 days (8.2% in the past year); 1.1% (3.3% past year) of the reference group of 94,000 college students did. 2.7% used inhalants in the past 30 days; 1% of the reference group did. 2.7% used hallucinogens; 2.6% of the reference group did. 4.5% used cocaine in the past year; 3.7% of the reference group did. 68% didn’t know or thought there wasn’t an alcohol and drug prevention program. 4.3% reported experiencing forced sexual touching or fondling, of which 82% reported using alcohol or drugs shortly before. 2.4% reported unwanted sexual intercourse, of which 78% reported using alcohol or drugs shortly before. 3.2% carried a gun or knife. 70% say alcohol facilitates sexual opportunity. 18% said it makes them sexier.

Questions? Comments? Email jsaapp@haverford.edu

VII. Plenary Packets

John and Wheaton brought up the idea of putting summaries of the proposed Constitution and Honor Code in the plenary packets instead of the documents in their entireties. If this happens, there will be boxes of the complete documents in the mailroom for those who wish to read them.

VII. Moment of Silence

Questions? Comments? Email scan@haverford.edu
Students’ Council Minutes  
Sunday April 2, 2000  
5 p.m. Bryn Mawr Room, D.C.

Disclaimer: These minutes reflect the views of SC Co-Secretaries Tamia Harris and Laura Wilcox. They do not necessarily reflect the views of Haverford College.

Present: Wheaton Little, John Silson, Travis Combs, Laura Wilcox, Tamia Harris, Shane Danaher, Joe Vazquez, Nick D’Avella, Vincent Indelicato, Jess Latterman, Tyson Oberndorfer, Chris Schlootman, Natalie Wright, David Mintzer, Graham Forbes, Vinay Nariani, David Peck Eileen Sukumaran, Fritz Krembs
Absent: Vincent Indelicato, Geoff Melada, Matt Reilly
Guests: Jenn Louie, Sara Waring

I. Moment of Silence

II. Dorm Rep Thoughts

“Lunt has been hopping these last 3 nights”
- Nick D’Avella

David Peck is organizing a Gummere/Barclay wiffle ball game for this Saturday the 8th of April on Founder’s green. Natalie Wright reported that the pay phones in Lunt and Jones no longer have dial tones. Bell Atlantic and Physical Plant will be contacted. North Dorm residents reported that they were woken up by termite drilling on Sunday morning. They were wondering why there wasn’t any warning or notice about this. On the subject of warning, Chris Schlootman was wondering if the community is warned when there are pesticides on the grass. Tyson Oberndorfer reported that there is a broken dryer in Leeds. In case of broken dryers, dial the extension that is posted in the laundry rooms (1096). Nick brought up the recent press releases on Haverford’s new policy of co-ed housing. Natalie was on the local news regarding this topic.

III. Plant and Property Committee Report

Two student members of Plant and Property Committee, Sara Waring, and Chris Schlootman were at the meeting to give a report. They both want to see the committee increase its focus on the environmental aspects of grounds planning, as opposed to only the aesthetic aspects. One of their major concerns is the poor drainage on campus. Most of Haverford’s drainage problem could have been prevented if they were addressed earlier. One major grounds change that we could be seeing at Haverford is the paving of the portion of the nature trail behind the new Physical Plant building. Many SC members felt that the paving of the nature trail would be harmful to the trees in that area, since much of the root system would be lost, and would defeat the purpose of a nature trail.

Questions? Comments? Email: nricker, jdiaz, or wastifan

IV. Committee Reports

Activities – Laura and Tamia requested the help of Students’ Council in the set up and clean up of this Friday’s dance. They also announced the following events:

“Black Out” Campus Center Dance- Friday April 7th, 10 pm – 2am
Staff Appreciation Day- Monday April 24th, 2:30-4:00 in Founders Great Hall
Sun Dance – Saturday May 6th, 10 pm – 2 am in the D.C.
Graham has started talking with John Francone about Sun Dance. As in previous years there will be Karaoke in one of the wings of the D.C. and a DJ in the other.

VII. Plenary

Publicity - Jess Latterman volunteered to make Plenary posters to help publicize this Sunday’s event. John and Wheaton emphasized that this is the community’s event and that anyone in the community should feel free to make posters. If you want to make posters, but need funding, contact sc@haverford.edu.

Voting - Jenn Louie and Joe Vazquez presented the voting system that was created with the help of Mike Ranen for Plenary. Since there will be a substantially greater number of people at this Plenary, they created a voting system that will handle larger numbers of people. Under this new system of tallying votes, the votes from every resolution will be kept so that they can be refereed to in the case of a suspected miscalculation.

Changes to Plenary Setup – There will be three microphones set up during pro-con debate at Special Plenary. The third microphone will be for the resolution presenters so they can respond to the pro-con debate as it is occurring. This will eliminate the five-minute presenters’ response period after the pro-con debate and will be a more coherent and effective way of debating the resolution.

SPECIAL PLENARY

Sunday April 9th in the Field House
Doors Open at 6:45 p.m.

VII. Moment of Silence

Questions? Comments? Email scan@haverford.edu
Students’ Council
Special Plenary Minutes
Sunday April 9, 2000 – Fieldhouse, 7:30 p.m.

Disclaimer: These minutes express the opinions of SC Co-Secretaries Tamia Harris and Laura Wilcox. They do not necessarily reflect the views of Haverford College.

Executive Council: Wheaton Little (Co-President), John Silson (Co-President), Jenn Louie (HC Co-Chair), Lucy Lyon (HC Co-Chair), Travis Combs (Vice-President), Shane Danaher (Co-Treasurer), Joe Vazquez (Co-Treasurer), Laura Wilcox (Co-Secretary), Tamia Harris (Co-Secretary)

I. Yellow paper vote to extend quorum by 15 minutes - PASS
II. Yellow paper vote to again extend quorum by 15 minutes – PASS
III. Quorum not reached – Special Plenary Ends

SECOND SPECIAL PLENARY
I. Yellow paper vote to extend quorum by 15 minutes - PASS
II. Yellow paper vote to extend quorum by 15 minutes - PASS
III. Ballot vote to verify quorum
IV. Yellow paper vote to determine that adequate discussion of resolutions has occurred - PASS

V. Moment of Silence

VI. Wheaton and John’s welcome to Plenary and Review of Process. “Let’s get this party started” - Wheaton

VII. Rules of Order and Agenda
a.) Five minutes for assembly to look over rules of order, examine agenda, and ask questions.
b.) Call for amendments to change agenda.

- Graham Forbes and Vinny Indelicato proposed to ratify the Code that wasn’t passed at Spring Plenary before the proposed resolutions and re-structured Code (Section IV in the agenda) are presented.

- Rich Biddulph and Ari Worthman proposed to move the ratification of the old Code after consideration of the re-structured Code in the agenda.

- Adam Woods proposed to change the Rules of Order to provide that quorum does not need to be maintained in order to pass resolutions.

c.) Vote on Graham and Vinny’s amendment – FAILED (ballot vote 424 yay, 369 nay)
   Vote on Rich and Ari’s amendment – PASS (yellow paper vote)
   Vote on Adam’s amendment – FAILED (yellow paper vote)

VIII. Resolution to Restructure the Honor Code – presented by Andy Ray, Maura Purcell, and Noel Pacheco
a.) Presentation - The procedural parts of the old Code have been made into appendices and the “meaty” stuff is at the beginning, “confrontation” is clarified as an educational process, and the text is updated to account for antiquated sections.
b.) Question and Answer Period

Q - Lev Miskoen asked if we pass this Code and the President doesn’t ratify it, does it mean we won’t have a Code next year?
A – They have talked to the President and he hasn’t voiced any objections to it.
Q – Graham Forbes asked if HCO’s would be retrained if this new Code passes.
A – This Code isn’t that much different than the current Code, so it wouldn’t be much of a problem.
Q – Rich Biddulph asked if the presenters could speak to the collaborative efforts in the creation of this new Code.
A – They have had conversations with many people and have incorporated resolutions proposed by other people.
Q – John Frisbee asked how this Code is going to change the way people confront and act toward one another.
A – If you present confrontation as an educational process rather than an intimidating formality, it will help.
Q – Sean Armour asked why the line on page 8 about confidentiality in trials was removed.
A – It wasn’t, it was just moved to another page.

Vote to extend Question and Answer Period – PASS (yellow paper vote)
Q — Katie Griffiths asked why it seems that there is a contradiction between living out of the Community and still abiding by the Code.
A — If students behave in ways that violate the Code while they are not at Haverford, the rest of the Community is affected (ex. losing study abroad spots)
Q — Aaron Clauset asked if it is possible for the names of people who have committed sexual assault to be released in case they return to campus.
A — That wasn’t an issue they wished to address and it does indeed remain unclear.
Q — Ryan Taggart asked if you do something that is against the Code while you are away, are you brought to trial when you come back to Haverford?
A — You would have to be responsible for your actions no matter what.
Q — Tyson Oberndorfer asked if the faculty and administration are going to be bound by the Code as well, and if so, have they (and President Tritton) been spoken to?
A — They are not bound by the code, but being part of the Community means that they should strive to achieve those ideals.
Q — Graham Forbes asked if people in violation when abroad would have to be confronted by someone in the Community.
A — Quite likely the administration would be the confronting party.

c.) Pro-Con Debate
Pro — Jacob Zlotoff likes the focus on confrontation as a tool to achieve mutual understanding. Confrontation doesn’t necessarily mean going to trial, it means having a dialogue. This version of the Code makes that clear.
Con — Matt Osypowski worries that we are including the faculty and staff in our definition of Community, yet they are not held under the Code and are not given a vote at Plenary. We are making them part of a process in which they have no say.
Response — They wanted to keep it neutral and non-controversial and felt it is an issue that the student body, faculty, and staff have not fully addressed yet
Pro — Rich Biddulph voiced his support for this new Code, even though there are some drawbacks. Con — Micah Dhrayton said that if there is one little piece you object to, you might have to vote the whole thing down.
Pro — Peter Law pointed out the positive aspects of the new preamble which talks about the environment created by the Code. He also liked how confrontation is emphasized.
Pro — Jeremy Pober said there is no reason not to vote for this Code – it isn’t drastically changed and there’s really nothing bad in it.
Response — Not all the problems have been solved, but they did what they could.
d.) Call for Unfriendly Amendments — none
e.) Moment of Silence
f.) Vote on Final Resolution — PASS (752 yay, 45 nay, 46 no votes)

IX.
Presentation of Honor Code as Amended by Community at Plenary — presented by HC Chairs Lucy Lyon and Jenn Louie.
a.) Presentation of Code to Community — They stressed that the decision to ratify the Code is a personal choice and everyone needs to make an educated decision for themselves about how the Code pertains to themselves and the larger Community. They posed several questions for people to think about, such as why are you here?
b.) Moment of Silence to reflect upon the Code
c.) Question and Answer Session
Q — Ben asked what happens to the other resolutions after we pass the Code?
A — We will vote on the individual resolutions and then vote on the entire Code again.
d.) Pro-Con Debate
Pro — Jeremy Pober stressed that we’re voting on the Code, not any other resolutions.
Pro — Mike Ranen said, “power to you guys, power to us.” We’ve spoken and said we’ve liked this Code.
e.) Vote on Ratification of the Honor Code — PASS (765 yay, 34 nay, 42 no votes)
*Ratification cards will be in mailboxes later this week and they need to be turned in.

Quorum Lost — End of Plenary
Questions? Comments? Email scan@haverford.edu
Students’ Council Minutes  
Sunday April 16, 2000  
5:00 p.m. Bryn Mawr Room, D.C.

Disclaimer: These minutes express the opinions of Student’s Council Co-Secretaries Tamia Harris and Laura Wilcox. They do not necessarily reflect the views of Haverford College.

Present: Wheaton Little, John Silson, Travis Combs, Laura Wilcox, Tamia Harris, Shane Danaher, Nick D’Avella, Graham Forbes, Vincent Indelicato, Fritz Krembs, Jess Latterman, Geoff Melada, Chris Schlottman, Eileen Sukurman, Natalie Wright, Dave Mintzer, David Peck  
Absent: Matt Reilly, Joe Vazquez, Tyson Oberndorfer, Vinay Nariani,

“I’ve pissed on the left foot of John Harvard on Harvard square”  
-Travis Combs

I. Moment of Silence

II. Presidents’ Thoughts
Now that Plenary is over and the Honor Code is ratified (Yeah!), Wheaton and John urged dorm reps to set small goals for themselves and their dorm for the final two weeks of the semester. Some of the projects they are tackling for the remainder of the semester are more furniture in the Sunken Lounge and a Space Survey. What would you like to see improved on campus? What needs Students’ Council attention?

III. Dorm Rep Thoughts
Nick reported that there is grass in Lunt from all of the visiting frisbee players this weekend. Jess reported that she is concerned about people urinating on the class of 2003 tree on Gummere green. Travis was wondering what progress we have made in getting pre-paid washers and dryers on campus. Many dorm reps felt that there are many services that our academic counterparts (most notably Bryn Mawr) have that we lack, such as “free” laundry services. Many of the dorm reps agreed that it would be a good idea to have organized study breaks in the dorms over finals week. Council agreed that Haverford students need a time to unwind. Eileen is in the process of planning a Gummere BBQ before the end of the semester. If you are interested in having a BBQ or any other dorm activity contact your dorm representative. Chris informed Students’ Council that many offices in the Campus Center will be moved to Stokes next year and was wondering if Students’ Council had looked into the possibility of moving the SC office there as well.
IV. Secondary Committees
Web Page Updater - Graham reported that the SC web page might need to be entirely restructured in order to ease the maintaining process in future.
SC Board - Natalie volunteered to make a poster of dorm rep pictures for the SC board.

IV. Committee Reports
Activities - Laura and Tamia opened the floor for feedback on FNL. Everyone agreed that the event went well, but they felt that the 2nd and 3rd floor of the Campus Center weren’t used as effectively as they could have been. The suggestion was made to decorate the elevator to encourage people to go upstairs and participate in those activities.

V. Upcoming Events

Staff Appreciation Day- Monday April 24th 2:30pm-4:00pm in Founders Great Hall
Candlelight Dinner in the DC - Wednesday April 26th
   -“Casual formal” attire suggested
SPIKE LEE - Wednesday April 26th, 7:30 pm in Marshall Auditorium
ROOTS CONCERT -Friday, April 28th Marshall auditorium,
Sun Dance- Saturday May 6th in the Dinning Center
   -Karaoke and a DJ

VI. Moment of Silence