Housing Committee Meeting Minutes (Co-ed Housing Proposal)

Introductions of Us

Speakers:
1) Tim S-W (heterosexual, returning students can choose their roommates)
2) Talia Y (changes over time), racial co-ed, visiting hours, bathrooms
3) Sarah Y (cutting-edge, progressive, comparisons to like school)
4) Adrian Z (no Fresh contact => Y dorm Proposal)

Q+A:
1) Meg L: Diff b/w group living vs. double rooms
   A: Tim S-W: Group living discourages straight couples
   Talia Y: Group living discriminates against rising sophomores
   Q: Meg: Blocking vs Lottery
   Talia: Blocking is co-ed (not in rooms) already, committee; only Blocking could discriminate against lower #s

2) Q: Cam: Future Housing Needs
   A: Talia: Is another factor in the equation
   A: Sarah: Depends on urgency
   A: Adrian: Yes

3) Q: Myt: Guarantee or Option
   A: Adrian: Extension of Right to Choose
   A: Talia: System already flexible

4) Q: Committee: Reaction of Gender Community
   A: Adrian: Fresh very excited
   A: Talia: Positive, little Indiff, no Negative
   Q: Cam: Straight getting rooms instead of queer
   A: Tim: More rooms the better

5) Q: Cam: Maintain Gender Balance?
   A: Talia: We don't know Gender Balance

Questions:
1) Where Does Myt Fall on This Issue?
   a) How much power/says does she have over the final decision (with the dean)

   1) luck to go to Swarthmore
   2) Not all queer students are that lucky, e.g. Myt @ Drexel
   3) This committee can make a reason that will be strongly considered
   4) This could have far-reaching benefits, consequences