II. Co-ed housing proposal
   A. A proposal from an individual student in the community on the possibility of adding some co-ed housing (within a room) was discussed.

   B. Arguments against allowing co-ed housing
      i. Heterosexual couples could live together and break up, causing housing problems
      ii. First-years might be uncomfortable with other people living in co-ed housing arrangements

   C. Arguments for co-ed housing
      i. The current policy is heterosexist. Some queer students may feel uncomfortable living with people of the same sex
      ii. Friends of the same sex living together have roommate problems too
      iii. The current policy trusts upperclassmen students to choose roommates, so allowing co-ed housing would just extend this trust
      iv. As with any roommate issues, room changes are available should the need arise.
      v. Queer couples can live together now, and there haven't been issues with break-ups
      vi. Some heterosexual couples live together unofficially now anyway

   D. Unresolved issues
      i. One room doubles - If issues with couples living together arise, these are the rooms where they would happen.
         a. Haverford's co-ed policy states that it is only permissible in housing situations with more than two people.
         b. Halls/Dorms with freshmen - Though it was pretty much agreed that in the beginning co-ed housing should not be open to first-years, it is unresolved whether it should be allowed on halls/in dorms where freshmen live.
            a. Freshmen may be uncomfortable living near these conditions
            b. Haverford's co-ed policy states that mixed gender housing is only offered to upperclassmen in dorms with no first-years.
            c. Freshmen have to learn to deal with communal living/co-ed halls anyway, so this is just one more part of that.
            d. Freshmen are already exposed to significant others sleeping over on halls anyway, so this isn't much different

   F. Recommendations
      i. Open co-ed housing in the Lodges, Roberts and Palmer
      ii. No guarantee for availability, but if strong concern, individuals can talk to Myrt
G. Concerns
i. Allowing Lodges to be co-ed decreases the availability of single-sex housing
   a. Lodges will still be open to single-sex applicants as well
   b. Bad lottery numbers may leave uncomfortable students without this option
      a. The policy legitimizes queer concerns, so students will feel more able to talk to Myrt about possible room changes
      b. The lottery forces many people to live in places they don't want to, this is just an effort to open the door
   c. PPR is often open through most of the lottery

H. Next Steps
i. informal poll of SQU to find the demand for this
ii. Open discussion
   a. Individuals and groups/organizations are welcome to send questions, comments or concerns to Myrt or members of HC
   b. HC members will engage in discussions with friends, classmates, hallmates, etc.
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---

More questions

6) Q: Myrt: How do we remain cross section?
   A: Adrian: Singles Mix it up
      Freshman

   A: Talia: Not too much people

7) Q: comm: No incoming Fresh, what of the future?
   A: Talia: needs to try it out

8) Q: comm: Negative reactions @ Haverford
   A: Tim: Some Alums complained
   A: Adrian: Room Reaction Deviates throughout the years.

9) Q: Myrt: What's clarification - Some better than nothing
    For more people a starting point?
    Should we then go for more in the first round?
   A: Talia: That's accurate.

Q: Myrt: Two room doubles get more upperclassmen;
   Groups would be more for Sophomores